

Re: Dirk Van de moortel and the most immortal fumble of them all

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-12/msg00214.html>

- *From:* tdp1001@xxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 2 Dec 2005 19:35:49 -0800
-

glbrad01 wrote:

- > Looking at your fumbles site I see that you have never made a mistake in
- > your life. Not a one. Nor have you ever in your life had the slightest bit
- > of imagination, vision, or questioned any theory with a different deduction
- > from the given deduction once a deduction has hit the big time. Einstein
- > himself once told Heisenberg not to hold him to anything he said before he
- > knew better. Hawking has publicly admitted to some big mistakes he made in
- > his earlier times. Von Neumann and Lord Kelvin made singular mistakes so
- > great they are continually part of our humorous literature of [greats of
- > various fields'] truly immortal fumbles. Such are big time, titanic,
- > [professional] fumbles.
- >
- > This is an open forum for the worst amateurs as well as gifted amateurs.
- > It is an open forum for the worst professionals as well as gifted
- > professionals. It is an open forum for trial and error, trial and error,
- > trial and error. It is a forum for describing some "really weird universes"
- > and string theory, for just one example, predicts countless possibilities of
- > "really weird universes." Our own universe, according to some of the most
- > thoughtful greats, is subject in many ways to also being "really weird." A
- > quote by physicist Marc Davis at one of the first conferences on string
- > theory has been echoed countless times since by the best and most thoughtful
- > professionals, "We know next to nothing about the Universe." Hawking stated
- > that the least supposed knowledgeable, the most ignorant among humankind,
- > could have the one right picture of the way things really are. That that is
- > how really little we really know.
- >
- > So per all these notables, you've wasted so much --- so very valuable ---
- > space and time over the years. At least we amateurs are in great company in
- > our fumbles. But you've made the most "immortal fumble" of them all. No
- > imagination --- only too obvious. No vision --- only too obvious. No trials ---
- > only too obvious. No errors --- only too obvious. No "fumbles," immortal or
- > otherwise. Thus a waste. Pure waste. You have peers in it but no superior in
- > it who-so-ever. As I said, always "the most 'immortal fumble' of them all."
- >
- > I make many mistakes. You've made only one. What a terrible waste.

The poster makes a good point when he points out

Re: Dirk Van de moortel and the most immortal fumble of them all

that Moortel's life is ONE big "fumble".

As I pointed out in an old post,
which follows below,
the purpose of Mortell's web site is
to compensate for an inferiority complex,
and to attack folks who have
exposed his ignorance in the newsgroups.

Old post follows.

=====

Your web site tells far more about you,
than it does about the folks,
whose articles are featured on your web site.

It tells that you have an inferiority complex
and try to stroke your ego
by trying to slander other folks.

And it shows that you target certain folks,
(Probably because they have exposed your "fumbles"
in an open forum, rather than in a personal web page.)
and imply that particular posts,
which may or not be informative and valid,
are "Fumbles" by virtue of the fact
that they are referenced on your site.

For example, I see that you reference two of my posts
which make reference to my position,
that it makes more sense to get information
from "The horse's mouth, rather from a horse's ass."

Of course, it may be that you actually believe that a "horse's ass"
is the best source of information,
and that you are striving to be the best source.

And it shows that you are cowardly,
and need the security of people
with psychological problems similar to yours.

Perhaps you will prove me wrong by
posting a few references to some of the so-called "fumbles"
made by the other posters in sci.physics,
who, like you, habitually stroke their egos
by attacking other folks.

I will be anxiously looking forward to seeing
your references to some of these "fumbles".

=====

End of old post.

Re: Dirk Van de moortel and the most immortal fumble of them all

Re: Dirk Van de moortel and the most immortal fumble of them all

Case in point.

Wormley and Old Man recently attacked me for pointing out that the Fine Structure Constant was affected by mass, and no apology or response was forthcoming when I posted NIST verification of this.

You can bet you won't see these REAL "fumbles" on Mortell's web site, as Moortel does not post the REAL "fumbles" of the sci.physics Taliban, as this would undermine his rationalization for attacking messengers, rather than addressing messages in an open, honest, rational, intelligent, mature, moral way.

--

Tom Potter

<http://no-turtles.com>

<http://photos.yahoo.com/tdp1001>

<http://tom-potter.blogspot.com>

.

• *Follow-Ups:*

◆ ***Re: Dirk Van de moortel and the most immortal fumble of them all***

◇ *From:* Dastardly Fiend

• *References:*

◆ ***Dirk Van de moortel and the most immortal fumble of them all***

◇ *From:* glbrad01

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Dirk Van de moortel and the most immortal fumble of them all***
- Next by Date: ***Re: What's a good "proton reflector"? nothing***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Dirk Van de moortel and the most immortal fumble of them all***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Dirk Van de moortel and the most immortal fumble of them all***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***