

# Re: Brad Guth feeds the brown-nosed incest cloned clowns of usenet

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2005-12/msg00377.html>

---

- *From:* "Brad Guth" <[ieisbradguth@xxxxxxxxx](mailto:ieisbradguth@xxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 5 Dec 2005 05:44:36 -0800
- 

tj Frazir (sci.physics only),

>Titanium iron oxide is royal blue.

>nasa missed the argon all together.

It seems that I keep finding those pesky word errors and thus a need to update this reply, but then my GOOGLE Usenet goes into archive melt-down and/or lock-down (damage-control) mode trying to keep up with and/or to block my revisions. Thus I'm having even more time to further revise.

In addition to all of the titanium, carbon/soot and iron deposits being of such dark and somewhat rich element colors, plus rather physically and electrostatic nasty as well as meters deep of hardly any surface tension capability, it seems they also entirely missed the horrific amounts of surface and atmospheric sodium, of there being so much sodium that it still boils off by day to such an extent of creating and sustaining the 14,000 km atmosphere that's having been well proven to exist, enough sodium being extracted that a 900,000 km comet like trail as blown by solar winds has been yet another hard-science matter of fact.

There's so much salt associated with our moon that it leaves little doubt that our moon was once upon a time offered as a much larger sphere of an icy proto-moon, which should also account for why all of those truly massive diameter craters were established as being so gosh darn shallow, as though there was perhaps originally 262 km worth of salty ice having protected the surface.

I tend to agree totally, that our NASA has been exactly the sort phony baloney and perpetrated cold-war cloak on behalf of our MI6/NSA~CIA daggers, as much as they were back in the beginning, and obviously still are having to keep this to themselves, or else those men-in-black start showing up. Our NASA is the "money laundering service" as well as a primary disinformation resource of their having created and promoted a vast array of spendy BBC/NOVA infomercials, thereby having snookered the majority of humanity, many since having been dumbfounded to their ultimate demise of what our perpetrated cold-war has managed to deliver.

Re: Brad Guth feeds the brown-nosed incest cloned clowns of usenet

As you've known for decades and I have only recently accepted as far too many scientific reasons as to why our NASA/Apollo folks never walked upon our moon. It's entirely possible that we had managed to briefly orbit the moon in person, whereas I'm talking about a loop once around that nasty sucker and then getting the hell out of that TBI dosage situation ASAP, but I also no longer believe we've managed a safely deployed robotic deployment upon our moon, other than for having creating a few artificial craters upon such efforts having impacted and/or their sinking out of sight like all of the superior robotic USSR best efforts managed to achieve.

Accomplishing the to/from mission(s) of our NASA/Apollo program getting ourselves into and out of the LL1/ME-L1 of the mutual gravity-well as sort of the ultimate park-n-ride zone was entirely within our capability of the day, and of having sent off the remainders of each mission as to robotically orbiting the moon and of thereby obtaining science and all of those terrific images from orbit and subsequently having transferred those Kodak moments back to the LL1/ME-L1 zone was also doable, as well as their having allowed each of the robotic flown landers to attempt their landing upon but having eventually impacted the moon were also the doable forms of what met the degree of proven fly-by-rocket capability that we had to work with. There was nothing that any human crew could have accomplished better at the efforts of getting such technology deployed safely or otherwise upon the lunar surface. A once around the moon as keeping a much greater loop distance would also have been doable and within the brief TBI dosage specs of what being exposed to what that nasty orb had to deliver, especially by what's derived off the highly reactive solar illuminated side, although of having been coasting within the LL1/ME-L1 zone would not have required a come-home orbit of the moon, and apparently that's why there's been such a continual taboo/nondisclosure with regards to folks discussing anything about that nifty location, and of what such could have been utilized for back then as well as for benefiting the LSE-CM/ISS.

I'm thinking that at best our NASA/Apollo crew spent their time coasting within a somewhat LL1/ME-L1 station-keeping mode as offered by the mutual gravity-well that's somewhat of an interactive zone situated roughly 60,000 km off the deck as remaining directly aligned with Earth, sending their supposed fly-by-rocket lander in for accomplishing those multiple orbits as unmanned, obviously having deployed itself via remote command as for their attempting at getting this make-do and R&D as-built equipment onto the surface where each of those efforts essentially impacted because we had not devised a safe fly-by-rocket lander that could have safely managed the task, much less protected the crew from the absolutely horrific levels of secondary/recoil hard-X-ray dosage, nor even offering sufficient physical protection. There's also the little matter of their "CHAPEL BELL" signal transponder that shouldn't have been necessary if we were actually walking upon the surface. There's also their very own unfiltered Kodak moments that simply have not represented the raw surface, nor as having to survive

Re: Brad Guth feeds the brown-nosed incest cloned clowns of usenet

the terribly reactive nature of our extremely albedo dark and nasty moon that actually has a fairly complex atmosphere of sodium, argon, CO2 and deck layer Radon that's still at best offering next to nothing of a sufficient atmospheric density for establishing all that much of any shield.

I also agree that the "moon reflector can't reflect back to the sending point as the earth turns", but most importantly is that such can't manage to collectively out-reflect their natural surroundings to any extent detectable by the very best of science instruments upon Earth or in orbit. The math proves this being worth nearly zilch if not impossible with the limited amount of such retroreflectors and dealing with the tremendous width of laser beams as having been transmitted simply can not demonstrate even so much as offering us anything that could be isolated as retroreflector photons as opposed to those being common lunar surface photons, of which there's simply millions more square meters worth of 25% IR reflective lunar soil per retroreflector that isn't worth one square meter. Even the most recent efforts of a supposed 2 km zone that's providing  $3.14e6$  m<sup>2</sup> as being illuminated is nearly 4e6:1 of lunar surface that's going to entirely swamp the path of whatever returning photons as having far too many of those lunar surface reflected photons, and also because those retroreflectors were not even band-pass coated, there's absolutely no viable way of extracting which if any of the returning photons are not those derived off the raw surface, a raw surface that's actually providing itself as a fairly good IR reflector depending upon the spectrum of IR having been utilized (generally the longer the IR wavelength the better off the moon itself reflects).

The first few years worth of those retroreflector infomercials having suggested upon their achieving a 20 km diameter zone of illumination is one heck of a mission-impossible pathetic joke.

Now if instead of those pathetic passive retroreflectors that were somewhat massive for their size, if there were even a fairly broad 100mw visible laser beam deployed as offering a 50 milliradian as a 0.1 millisecond transponder beacon, as for that sourced of focused photons we would have easily detected such with our naked eye. Hells bells folks, as little as deploying a one joule xenon strobe performing as a remote triggered beacon, if having a 0.5 degree radian (500 mr) as focused upon mother Earth would have been downright sufficient, and we certainly had 100 joule Xenon strobes available that could have easily replaced those retroreflectors at not 10% the mass, and of 1 joule capable strobes at perhaps all of 1% the mass.

>tj Frazir; – The bullshit nasa landed in was coral calcium from guam. Personally, at least to my observationology worth of interpretation it has always looked almost exactly like the sort of albedo and color spectrum as having been Xenon lamp illuminated and as having physically reacted nearly exactly like a 50/50 blend of portland cement and cornmeal, as perhaps applied along with or just over the surface of

Re: Brad Guth feeds the brown-nosed incest cloned clowns of usenet

that "coral calcium from guam", or how about their having used the entire phosphate island nation of Nauru that's extremely isolated and worth all of 21 km<sup>2</sup>.

I may not agree with each and every notion that you've ever proposed. However, I totally agree with most everything that has been provided in the past and could likely post again and again about our cold-war perpetrating government and of their NASA/Apollo fiasco. However, I believe that you're still giving us too good of a name for what our NASA represents to science and humanity humanity ("nasa is a bunch of dumbfucks"). Next step; how do we best go about nailing these absolute heathen bastards?

Much like what BBC/NOVA/GOOGLE plus NASA have been collaborating upon their having created and promoting those horrifically spendy infomercials which obviously only supports their moderated and thus orchestrated side of the argument, whereas if you'll create a few external pages that I can post links to, and in exchange you might care to accommodate the same on my behalf. At least in that way we'd be supporting ourselves without our getting topic trashed and or my having to deal with so much of their MI6/NSA~CIA PC fuckware.

—

"If you're not looking for the truth, you will not find it."

—Brad Guth

"To believe with certainty we must begin with doubting."

—Stanislaus I

"The real voyage of discovery consists not in seeking new landscapes, but having new eyes."

—Marcel Proust

"Truth is given, not to be contemplated, but to be done. Life is an action, not a thought."

—F.W. Robertson

~

Kurt Vonnegut would have to agree far beyond; WAR is WAR, thus "in war there are no rules" – In fact, war has been the very reason of honest folks having to deal with the likes of others that haven't been playing by whatever the supposed rules, such as our resident warlord(GW Bush).

Life upon Venus, a township w/Bridge & ET/UFO Park-n-Ride Tarmac:

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-town.htm>

The Russian/China LSE-CM/ISS (Lunar Space Elevator)

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/lunar-space-elevator.htm>

Venus ETs, plus the updated sub-topics; Brad Guth / GASA-IEIS

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-topics.htm>

.

Re: Brad Guth feeds the brown-nosed incest cloned clowns of usenet

- **References:**

- ◆ **Re: Brad Guth feeds the brown-nosed incest cloned clowns of usenet**

- ◇ *From: tj Frazir*

- Prev by Date: **Re: Quantum theory: A resonance effect?**

- Next by Date: **Re: December 2005 Scientific American article**

- Previous by thread: **Re: Brad Guth feeds the brown-nosed incest cloned clowns of usenet**

- Next by thread: **Re: Brad Guth feeds the brown-nosed incest cloned clowns of usenet**

- Index(es):

- ◆ **Date**

- ◆ **Thread**