

Re: Derivative Products of Form $(df/dx)(dg/dx)$ in Physics 2: Devroye's Inversion Generalization Theorem

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-01/msg00497.html>

- *From:* "Pod Chumbly" <invaild@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 6 Jan 2006 08:55:59 -0600
-

"OsherD" <mdoctorow@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1136527873.047892.95080@xx
>>From Osher Doctorow mdoctorow@xxxxxxxxxxxx
>
> Pod Chumbly typed:
>
>>The math is trivial, get on with it. What is so important about it's
>>meaning?
>

The following has nothing to do with my question, a red herring.....

> Chumbly appears to have fallen from the sky with no knowledge of my
> prior postings, and his previous comment "trivial" on part 1 of this
> thread aroused my curiosity, so I looked under his name on sci.physics,
> which any reader can do (see "options," etc.), and all the previous
> postings of his listed are on politics or marijuana in sci.physics
> except for the option "list of all contributions" or something to that
> effect which indicates that contributions of the same type can be
> enumerated in detail by selection that option. Aside from having no
> apparent knowledge of my past postings or his own past postings, there
> is no reason to continue commenting on this strange person.

You make false assumptions about what I know.
Do you "guess" as part of your mathematics as well?

>
> I now turn to Luc Devroye, and the title of his book is Non-Uniform
> Random Variate Generation, Springer-Verlag: N.Y., Berlin, 1986, which
> is available on the internet free under keywords "Non-uniform random
> variate generation." Devroye and I belong to opposite "schools" in
> politics, but his volume is one of the best that I have ever seen in
> bivariate/multivariate probability distributions.

I found nothing in that book addressing your topic. (seems like a good book)

Why can't you answer my question? What is the meaning of the term?

You discuss deriving it from others, but that is really a side issue as the term can be generated directly by the problem.

You are giving me the opinion that you do not have sufficient depth in the subject.

>

> If Pod Chumbly keeps interrupting, I'll reply to my own postings and

> not type under his in response since I have no interest in him aside

> from wondering whether he's with MoveOn.Org, George Soros, or the

> Category Theory–Algebraic Geometry–Algebraic Topology Lucrative

> Research Awards people.

Another red herring, diversion, personal attack. You have resorted to this as you do not know the answer, and are unable to discuss or justify what you write.

> Osher Doctorow

>

.

• **References:**

◆ ***Re: Derivative Products of Form $(df/dx)(dg/dx)$ in Physics 2: Devroye's Inversion Generalization Theorem***

◇ From: OsherD

• Prev by Date: ***Re: how to create a universe***

• Next by Date: ***Re: QUESTION: New idea for truck scales***

• Previous by thread: ***Re: Derivative Products of Form $(df/dx)(dg/dx)$ in Physics 2: Devroye's Inversion Generalization Theorem***

• Next by thread: ***Re: Derivative Products of Form $(df/dx)(dg/dx)$ in Physics 2: Devroye's Inversion Generalization Theorem***

• Index(es):

◆ ***Date***

◆ ***Thread***