

Re: Basic Scientific Inference and Fallacy

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-01/msg01654.html>

- *From:* "Mathue Hagen" <invaidd@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 16 Jan 2006 21:33:17 -0600
-

<donstockbauer@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1137463562.256926.151040@xx
> Whatever.
>

your response truly addresses the importance of the original post.

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **[Re: Basic Scientific Inference and Fallacy](#)**
 - ◇ *From:* donstockbauer
- *References:*
 - ◆ **[Basic Scientific Inference and Fallacy](#)**
 - ◇ *From:* Douglas Eagleson
 - ◆ **[Re: Basic Scientific Inference and Fallacy](#)**
 - ◇ *From:* donstockbauer
- Prev by Date: **[Re: Perfect Mirrors and Black Holes](#)**
- Next by Date: **[Re: Basic Scientific Inference and Fallacy](#)**
- Previous by thread: **[Re: Basic Scientific Inference and Fallacy](#)**
- Next by thread: **[Re: Basic Scientific Inference and Fallacy](#)**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **[Date](#)**
 - ◆ **[Thread](#)**