

# Re: Speed gedanken time (repaired) time dilation 099

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-02/msg02113.html>

---

- *From:* "Spaceman" <Realspace@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sun, 12 Feb 2006 18:12:50 -0500
- 

"OG" <owen@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:459tjjF512isU1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:459tjjF512isU1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

|  
| "Spaceman" <Realspace@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
| [news:DcWdnVGw-6zoJHLeRVn-uA@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:DcWdnVGw-6zoJHLeRVn-uA@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

|>  
|> "OG" <owen@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
|> [news:459qrgF5mi4cU1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:459qrgF5mi4cU1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

|> | So (A) had to move from 0 to first line to measure it  
|> | (B) also moved from 0 to first line to measure it – but (B) moved  
|> faster.

|> |  
|> | Why is (A)s measurement 'right' whereas (B)'s measurement is 'wrong'  
|> ?

|>  
|> (A) used a physical device to measure it.  
|> (B) could not do such at the speed it was doing.

|>  
|  
| I thought we were doing a gedanken? Think again and try and come up with  
| a  
| better answer.

Why?  
I like my answer.  
if you don't like it,  
you come up with a better answer.

|> You really love your "meter" huh?  
|> being that it is as stupid as  
|> a distance = a distance per time.  
|> :)

|  
| Not something I've tried to argue – don't change the subject, its  
| indicative  
| of a weak argument.

Re: Speed gedanken time (repaired) time dilation 099

You changed it to such.  
I merely hopped in the train you started to move upon.  
:)

.