

# Re: Fraud in Experimental and Theoretical Science

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-02/msg02167.html>

---

- *From:* "Greg Neill" <[gneillREM@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:gneillREM@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 12 Feb 2006 23:33:20 -0500
- 

"Spaceman" <[Realspace@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Realspace@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:--idneuF\\_KDyfnLeRVn-qO@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:--idneuF_KDyfnLeRVn-qO@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

"Greg Neill" <[gneillREM@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:gneillREM@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:h9RHf.3364\\$T35.130353@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:h9RHf.3364$T35.130353@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
| > Try reading the whole thing,  
| > It is a length traveled at a certain amount of time (speed)  
|  
| Right. A length.

Correct,  
a length = a length at a certain time (a speed)  
hence the  
a distance = a speed.  
supidity you don't get.

Good heavens, James can't even put the simple definition of a standard into practice without screwing up. He never ceases to amaze with the depth of his ignorance and ineptitude.

James can't understand math, can't do simple physics, and can't even keep units straight in equations, nor does he evidence the slightest hint that he might know why this could be important. It would seem that the only things James is competent at is lying and swearing.