

Re: A new theory with two postulates

# Re: A new theory with two postulates

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-03/msg00829.html>

---

- *From:* "SCW" <[doune60@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:doune60@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 10 Mar 2006 06:24:40 -0800
- 

QCD Apprentice wrote:

SCW wrote:

Mike wrote:

SCW wrote:

Here's an opening gambit. I have made two assumptions

- 1) No theory is infallible.
- 2) The demise of any theory is close at hand when additional theory is required to support it against agreed weaknesses.

Any thoughts or comments?

Of course. According to your postulates, your theory is also fallible.

Mike

SCW

Re: A new theory with two postulates

Well, there isn't much theory there to be honest, but yes, that's true  
– it could be replaced by a better theory.

It's more a jibe at SR, which seems to be painting itself into a  
corner.

SCW

How is SR painting itself into a corner?

It's fairly well accepted that it works as a good approximation when  
there's no gravity, and GR only works on large scales.

OK, explain to me how you would resolve the twins paradox without  
bolting on theory developed after the 1905 paper.

SCW

.