

Re: A new theory with two postulates

# Re: A new theory with two postulates

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-03/msg00833.html>

---

- *From:* "PD" <[TheDraperFamily@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:TheDraperFamily@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 10 Mar 2006 07:02:26 -0800
- 

SCW wrote:

PD wrote:

SCW wrote:

Mike wrote:

SCW wrote:

Here's an opening gambit. I have made two assumptions

1) No theory is infallible.

2) The demise of any theory is close at hand when additional theory is required to support it against agreed weaknesses.

Any thoughts or comments?

Of course. According to your postulates, your theory is also fallible.

Mike

SCW

Re: A new theory with two postulates

Well, there isn't much theory there to be honest, but yes,  
that's true  
– it could be replaced by a better theory.

It's more a jibe at SR, which seems to be painting itself into a  
corner.

What corner would that be?

PD

The conceptual one over there, containing the socially awkward males  
with body odour and no women.

Excuse me while I go locate my wife at the other end of the party and  
ask her if she knows what corner you're talking about.

PD

.