

Re: Euclids postulates and non-Euclidean geometry

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-03/msg00868.html>

- *From:* "T Wake" <taswakeAt@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 10 Mar 2006 20:50:28 -0000
-

"Spaceman" <Realspace@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:ZLKdnd-qqsKIRYzZnZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxx

"T Wake" <taswakeAt@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:q6dnUY1mIkWU4zZRVnytA@xxxxxxxxxxxx

"Spaceman" <Realspace@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:-LydnWDJO83IPozZnZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxx

Last I checked, airplanes don't fly through
the ground. Then again, who
knows what you see – you seem pretty
deranged.

I never said airplanes fly on the ground,
You really need to start to read and comprehend at the same
time.
You might wake up out of your abstract and actually find
some
reals again.

What are you going on about here?

The plane is limited in the planes (pun intended) it can move. For the
purpose of the plane (and within orders of magnitude) it can only go in
two dimensions. This means you need a way of abstracting the way it can
move.

Do you have a problem with this?

Well, I have a slight problem with it.

Re: Euclids postulates and non-Euclidean geometry

A plane can easily move in 3 dimensions.

It is more limited in its ability to move up or down than its ability to move forward or backwards. Given inflight refueling the plane can continue to orbit the planet until it breaks down.

If it descends more than about 20,000 feet there is an small problem.

but basically I have no other problem with it and yes the plane is limited but if you actually read what I have stated you would read that I am stating it is still not the shortest "distance" the difference being the shortest path or shortest distance.

But here your ability to understand is limited. The representation is there to show an example in limited planes. We are limited to movement within three dimensions. This is why you are confused about relating issues to other dimensions.

As soon as you learn to create abstract representations you will be able to realise what general sciences have known for quite some time.

How do you visualise the expansion of large scale structures on a cosmological scale?

I have not stated the shortest path is not a geodesic and only the morons that don't actually read are gathering such from what I state.

You twist and turn like a jellied eel.

What are you trying to state?

No, you ignore the reality of the situation (as always).

I do not such thing.
I know the shortest path is the geodesic. but that is simply not the shortest distance.

It depends on how many dimensions you can move through.

.