

Re: Troolean operators

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-03/msg00987.html>

- *From:* rick_sobie@xxxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 11 Mar 2006 10:58:58 -0800
-

"Well when you get out there, in space, be sure that your flaps are not on too steep an angle, you don't want friction to burn them when they are turned towards the sun"

So, OK, there is no atmosphere in space, flaps need air pressure to work, yet would the sun cause them a problem, and would that problem surface, when you are back on earth, trying to land?

If the person was a known liar who told you that, you would be worried because number one, it sounds serious, yet has components which may not make sense.

So it may be a true statement, it may be a false statement, and it may be pure nonsense.

Lets take it a bit further.

So you say, well either what he said was true, or what he said was false.

Granted, so what is the result?

Undefined.

Maybe parts of what he said were true and parts were false, but the whole thing sounds like bs, and you have to make a decision.

So you see, if you stop there, you might crash. So using troolean logic, you would expect the unexpected, and in this case get a second opinion

Re: Troolean operators

and if everyone is just making stuff up?

So you still need to assume, that it may be true, it may be false,
and if something happens that is not what you might expect then
you have a plan c.

And that is where a whole lot of problems occur. Right there in plan b.

Because you assume a thing is either true or false, so you have a plan
b.

.