

God is a position: I'm God's prisoner, and God to my prisoners.

# God is a position: I'm God's prisoner, and God to my prisoners.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-04/msg02839.html>

---

- *From:* Jeff&Relf <[Jeff\\_Relf@xxxxxxxxx](mailto:Jeff_Relf@xxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 20 Apr 2006 05:19:52 GMT
- 

Hi Radical\_Libertarian, You told me:

So – who's right ? The determinists, or the acausalists ?  
They are both right, a contradiction, yeah so what.

No, you are just like Niels Bohr, who's Copenhagen\_ Interpretation was  
\_Intentionally\_ mute on metaphysical issues.

In \_Contrast\_, Einstein thought today's theories and technologies are  
not the last word. If the past is any indicator,  
theories and technologies will improve.

For example, his General Relativity explained exactly how  
a clock with  $10^{-16}$  second accuracy  
ticks faster with a minute increase in altitude,  
\_Decades\_ before it was empirically proven !!  
See: Time Too Good to Be True  
<http://www.PhysicsToday.ORG/vol-59/iss-3/p10.html>

Newton couldn't to that... science marches on, Big Fucking Deal.

You concluded:

God can't play dice because real dice are trivial.  
But he also must play dice, because absolute order is equally trivial.  
He's damned is he does, and he's damned if he doesn't.

Nature, God, is not, not, \_Not\_ intrinsically random.  
That's Einstein's postulate... and, quite unlike you an Bohr,  
it bore \_Much\_ fruit.

By the way, the following is the correct definition of the word God,  
not the one Einstein used:

God is a position: I'm God's prisoner, and God to my prisoners.

.

God is a position: I'm God's prisoner, and God to my prisoners.