

Re: We are, a mass extinction event.

Re: We are, a mass extinction event.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-05/msg00149.html>

- *From:* rick_sobie@xxxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 1 May 2006 18:04:54 -0700
-

Is there a solution to all this?

Yes there is. It is really about finding the right terminology. Finding ways to incorporate current science work, into the paradigm, which is basically just the text books.

The work itself is being done, and is available on the net for study.

All of it other than that which is proprietary and then that is kept secret like any industrial secrets.

But there is a huge gap, between what is taught, and what is being done in the field.

For instance, people say well Zero point energy doesn't exist. They said that for a number of years but then people said, well the Casimir effect is merely an example of zero point energy.

So then they said yes but it is too weak an effect you would need plates the size of the universe and bla bla bla well that of course is bs, so enter the Ptterson beads, spheres, instead of plates, and there you go, a more efficient example of zero point energy because of course the shell radius is a sphere, not a square, so it only makes sense that shperes, would allow better interfacing with the zero point field.

So is zero point a btter term, than cold fusion? Well it is less threatening to particle physics isn't it.

So people will accept zero point energy, but they don't want to accept cold fusion.

It was interesting to me when a botanist said well what is photosynthesis then if not cold fusion.

So really it is almost a war of words isn't it.

And getting people to accept new science is really about finding a nicer way to introduce it.

A way that is non threatening.

And you know, just like quantum physics, is a different branch of physics when compared to Newtonian physics, and the New Physics, as it is sometimes called, is about Zero Point Energy, Cold Fusion, the Huchison Effect, electro gravitics, and the like.

But just calling it the New Physics is not a very good term. It needs a

Re: We are, a mass extinction event.

Re: We are, a mass extinction event.

better name.

People like to say well these things are like Tesla Technology.

Really there needs to be more effort towards separating these things from the other branches of physics, into territory of its own, and in that way the other branches be they real or made up science, will not feel threatened.

Just like a small group of people took the bull by the horns and made up quantum physics, the same needs to be done with zero point energy type physics.

New words, new terms for the same ideas, and there will be less resistance and more cooperation towards development.

That is really how you have to do it, and it is a shame that string theory is really too far out there to be realistic, because that sort of thing, would be ideal for a new methodology.

Terms like quantum foam have been used as well but then as soon as you say quantum, well then it must be this or that.

So it is best to just distance yourself. It is difficult but probably the best way to move ahead in this promising field.

.

Re: We are, a mass extinction event.