

Re: Quantum Mechanics: established fact?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-06/msg00998.html>

- *From:* Greg Hansen <glhansen@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 07 Jun 2006 22:08:51 -0500
-

Ken S. Tucker wrote:

PD wrote:

but that's irrelevant to whether others feel comfortable with the evidence for it.

That's religious, there is NO evidence for a BB.
Ken

I'm surprised that you've missed this stuff. Big Bang theories are based on general relativity. You know, that "greatest blunder" can't get a static universe stuff? Intuitively, it seems pretty obvious that if stuff is moving away from us, then it was a lot closer in the past (cite redshifting versus distance as evidence). Structure of the background radiation, every once in a while rags like Physics Today publish new comparisons of measurement with theory. Gravitational lensing apparently caused by clumps of the dark matter that some wrote off as fiction. Theories of Big Bang nucleosynthesis correctly predict ratios of primordial isotopes.

There's actually quite a bit more than zero evidence there.

.