

## Re: Quantum Mechanics: established fact?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-06/msg01107.html>

---

- *From:* srp <srp2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Thu, 08 Jun 2006 22:38:35 GMT
- 

T Wake a écrit :

"srp" <srp2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:44889291.80705@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:44889291.80705@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

T Wake a écrit :

"srp" <srp2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:44888AA0.9080108@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:44888AA0.9080108@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Quite refreshing to see plain common sense  
raising its head  
again Ken.

Without being unduly rude, it is often the case that people  
see common sense when it is simply a matter of someone  
agreeing with them.

Not in this case. I have had the same view as Hubble and apparently Ken  
for quite a long time, and for apparently the same reason : absence  
of proof.

Ok, this is still agreement. Common sense is, very often, incorrect in physics. Nature's idea of  
how things should occur rarely sync with what we humans see and think.

My view on this is that could not possibly make sense to Aristotle  
seemed to make more sense to Descartes (more info available), what  
seemd to not make sense to Descartes suddenly made more sense to  
Newton (more info available), then came Maxwell and all those in  
between who understood more bits and pieces from more verified  
data having become available, and then Planck, Einstein, de Broglie...

We are still at it and more info has been gathered sin