

Re: The 13.7 billion light-year length denotes a fifth spatial dimension.

## Re: The 13.7 billion light-year length denotes a fifth spatial dimension.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-06/msg01147.html>

---

- *From:* "T Wake" <[Usenet.es7AT@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Usenet.es7AT@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 9 Jun 2006 13:52:52 +0100
- 

(Sorry last one sent by mistake)

"Jeff.Relf" <[Jeff\\_Relf@xxxxxxxx](mailto:Jeff_Relf@xxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:Jeff\\_Relf\\_2006\\_Jun\\_8\\_FkID@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:Jeff_Relf_2006_Jun_8_FkID@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Hi T\_Puddleduck,

Re: [Wikipedia.ORG/wiki/Friedmann-Lema%C3%AEtre-Robertson-Walker](http://Wikipedia.ORG/wiki/Friedmann-Lema%C3%AEtre-Robertson-Walker)  
and [Wikipedia.ORG/wiki/Cosmic\\_inflation](http://Wikipedia.ORG/wiki/Cosmic_inflation)

Now it is nice that you feel the need to repeatedly post Wikipedia links but you really would be better off trying to learn what you are talking about.

WMAP's March 2006 polarity data is consistent with an ever constant lambda,  
not the huge lambda found in the Cosmic\_Inflation theory.

Where on either link you cite is there anything implying "an ever constant lambda?" More importantly, where is there anything in the links you cited which disagrees with the Big-Bang-Inflation model?

The observable universe was Planck length 13.7 billion light-years away in Cosmic\_Time... this length Should\_Be the result of an ever-constant lambda... it's wrong if it isn't.

What is wrong? The length or the theory which implies it should be the result of..?

What experiments can you think of with which we can test this, ahem, theory of yours?

But the big bang originated everywhere, not just in one place,

Re: The 13.7 billion light-year length denotes a fifth spatial dimension.

Re: The 13.7 billion light-year length denotes a fifth spatial dimension.

Nothing in the big-bang-inflation model suggests there is a "place" where the  $t=0$  event took place. What have you read which says otherwise?

so the 13.7 billion light-year length denotes a fifth spatial dimension which is best demarcated in degrees Kelvin.

Really? Where on Earth did you pluck this from? Remember, not only do you have to come up with a reason for having a "fifth" spatial dimension but you need to explain away the previous data which pretty much supports the idea of three spatial dimensions.

For now we will avoid the issue of why you have decided five is the magic number for the dimensionality of the universe. I will pretend (for a while) that it isn't arbitrary and you actually have reasoned from first principles.

.