

# Re: (mechanical) Gravity

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-06/msg02015.html>

---

- *From:* "PD" <[TheDraperFamily@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:TheDraperFamily@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 20 Jun 2006 11:19:51 -0700
- 

JoeT wrote:

Universal Forces – A. Gravity

There is a mechanical interpretation for every universal phenomenon! I am going to post them one by one. It is time for scientists, particularly physicists, to bravely come back to physics of mechanics. Now, we must give up formal-science philosophy believing that universal phenomena are mathematically derived functions. We did not find such well understood phenomena, as wind, fire, sound, atmospheric pressure, heat, etc., to be mathematical functions.

Sure we did. What do you think Newton's Universal Law of Gravity is? What do you think electric potential is?

Now, you may be wanting to confine physics to be the discussion of phenomena where there is a physical medium present, filled with "stuff".

I have no idea why you would want to restrict physics to be limited to such cases.

The space in the universe is not empty. It is filled with an atmosphere of dense

Define "dense". What's your dividing line between dense and sparse?

energetic neutrinos continuously replenished by billions of stars at essentially an overall constant rate. Also, contrary to the belief of scientists, neutrinos are very interactive.

Not compared to other particles, they're not. Electrons interact with

## Re: (mechanical) Gravity

matter a whole lot more often and more strongly than neutrinos interact with matter.

They continuously interact with subatomic particles of matters to produce all universal phenomena. Inside a planet or star, neutrinos continuously lose their kinetic energies due to their interactions with its subatomic particles. As a result, planets and stars are low energy centers of the atmosphere of neutrinos and the atmospheric neutrinos continuously push things towards them to produce gravitational force (The neutrinos going into a planet have stronger kinetic energy than neutrinos coming out of it).

Very good. Now you'll have to show that this model produces the behavior observed and cataloged by Kepler's laws, produces the correct precession of the perihelion of Mercury, produces the correct amount of bending of starlight as observed by Eddington. Until you can produce the calculations, your idea is worth diddly squat in physics.

Besides being the only objects filling the entire space of the universe, only neutrinos have the unique physical properties that fit those of gravity! For example, only neutrinos are capable of penetrating through all objects

You do know that they penetrate precisely because they don't interact much, don't you? Electrons don't penetrate very far because they do interact, a hell of a lot more than neutrinos.

having atomic and molecular structures to interact with all their subatomic particles nondestructively to produce gravitational forces having strength proportional to their masses.

Although scientists have long discovered the presence of an atmospheric neutrinos and even its energy variations around planets and stars, they have misinterpreted them as spacetime and curved-spacetime phenomena to support their no-aether theories (Newton's Universal Theory of Gravitation and Einstein's General Theory of Relativity), which are wrong scientifically and only partially correct mathematically.

Since "curved spacetime" mimics the energy variations of the atmospheric neutrinos around planets and stars well, the mathematics of Theory of General Relativity is useful despite that its science is completely wrong. The interactions of neutrinos with subatomic particles of all matters besides producing gravity also continue to generate heat. Paul Stone has shown that Newton's gravitational force

## Re: (mechanical) Gravity

equation is applicable to the gravity of neutrinos and estimated the heat produced the planets of the solar system (Sci. Physics 19 Feb. 1999).

You do know that neutrinos are detectable, and that there are many experiments devoted to counting them, don't you?

Although scientists are able to accurately calculate the orbit motions of the planets in the solar system, the mathematics of the current gravity theories is wrong to predict the presence of infinitely strong gravity.

There is no region of observable space that has infinitely strong gravity. I don't know where you got the impression there is.

Since the atmospheric neutrinos have limited energy and concentration like the atmosphere of air, there should be an upper limit of the strength of gravitational force. Besides, the gravity of stars is very complicated from their producing neutrinos, changing with their aging and having very high temperatures. The mathematics of current theories is wrong for use in systems containing stars! Using mathematics to calculate universal phenomena without scientific understanding them first is the fatal mistake of all no-aether theories including modern physics.

In summary, gravitational force is the act-on-contact pushing force of neutrinos. To make modern physics real its spacetime must be replaced by the presence of a dense energetic atmosphere of neutrinos in the universe and its curved spacetime must be replaced by the variation of energy of neutrinos around stars and planets.

### The Missions of my Posts

I would like to prove to the public that it is a mechanical world that is logical and makes common sense

Theories are not judged by whether they are logical or by whether they make common sense. You may think they should be. Tough. Theories are judged by their ability to turn an explanation into a quantitative model that can accurately predict the results of experimental \*measurements\*. If the measurements are consistent with what the theory predicts, and this is unique to this theory compared with other theories, then this is taken to be a successful model. If a theory does not do that (and yours so far does not), then it is worthless as a theory, no matter how much it appeals to your sense of

Re: (mechanical) Gravity

logic and common sense.

You are simply hanging your hat on the wrong hook for evaluating a scientific theory. Period.

by posting follows topics: Real science, Gravity, Other universal forces, Light and universal red-shift, Microwave background, Universe, Stars, Planetary motion, Particle physics. Public debates and actions are needed for the further development of the physics of mechanics-based new aether science now in its infancy stage and to save our science.

Physics of mechanics has been repeatedly proven to be the only real (correct) science without unproved postulation in the past four centuries. It has long predicted the presence of something (aether) having masses and relative motions taking up all space in the universe to produce universal phenomena. Recent I have discovered that the atmosphere of neutrinos is both the aether scientists have been looking for centuries and the common scientific origin of all universal phenomena. My discovery has expanded physics of mechanics to the science of everything and again disproved all no-aether theories including modern physics, which should be wrong to begin with since they are based on postulations contradicting the teachings of physics of mechanics. Like the ancient geocentric cosmology, both modern physics and its modern cosmology are now proven to be a pseudo-science based on unscientific postulations (those contradict the teachings of physics of mechanics) and mathematic models.

It is time for physicists, cosmologists, astronomers, their scientific communities, particularly the scientific research and education agencies of our government such as NSF and NASA, which we all trust so much, to bravely face the contradictions between physics of mechanics and all the no-aether theories they have developed and accepted over the past three centuries including modern physics and come back to real science. Lets all welcome a scientific "quantum leap" from religious beliefs and mathematic fantasies, to a simple consistent coherent scientific understanding of the universal and universal phenomena.

JoeT