

Re: GRAVITATION

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-06/msg02341.html>

- *From:* Phineas T Puddleduck <phineaspuddleduck@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 25 Jun 2006 19:48:05 +0100
-

In article <449ECB10.6030208@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, srp
<srp2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Phineas T Puddleduck a écrit :

I'm just unhappy with that – as you've said, in this fashion G is not constant. That's the bit I am not happy with.

I do understand how difficult it is to re-question stuff that supports models that we have come to find thoroughly consistent.

Why do I understand ?

Because faced with the out of focus lot of conflicting theories, and the fact that there exists only one coherent physical reality, I concluded that there was no way out except to hacksaw off all of my certainties, and rebuild from the smallest set of verified data. The hardest of all things to do.

My opinion was and still is that it's the price that must be paid by any individual who wants to be part of the solution.

André Michaud

So you feel – but I feel that interpretation of G is a bit bass-ackwards for me and I don't see how you could explain how G scales up to the value we currently know it is,

Personally – I see your equations are logically consistent, but I don't think you can retro-fit G in the way you did. That's just my opinion, Andre. I'd expect to see some sort of structure to the way G changes that we would notice in between the extremes you chose (Solar System,

Re: GRAVITATION

electron scale)...

—

The greatest enemy of science is pseudoscience.

Jaffa cakes. Sweet delicious orangey jaffa goodness, and an abject lesson why parroting information from the web will not teach you cosmology.

Official emperor of sci.physics, head numbler of the "Cult of INSANE SCIENCE". Please pay no attention to my butt poking forward, it is expanding.

Relf's Law?

"Bullshit repeated to the limit of infinity asymptotically approaches the odour of roses."

.