

Re: Is it possible to be an important and influential amateur physicist?

## Re: Is it possible to be an important and influential amateur physicist?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-06/msg02528.html>

---

- *From:* [mmeron@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:mmeron@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Wed, 28 Jun 2006 17:13:31 GMT
- 

In article <1151504198.819686.168620@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, [actionintegral@xxxxxxxx](mailto:actionintegral@xxxxxxxx) writes:

Having followed these forums for a few months now, it appears to me that "crackpots" and "cranks" are people that are unable to find the errors in their own logic. Just my humble observation.

It goes further than this. "Unable to find" seems to indicate that they check for errors, just fail to find any. But as I can see they don't check since the idea that they may be wrong just won't cross their mind. They "know" that they're right:-)

Mati Meron | "When you argue with a fool,  
[meron@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:meron@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx) | chances are he is doing just the same"

.