

Re: Trying to find some space for research paper.

Re: Trying to find some space for research paper.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-08/msg00838.html>

- *From:* "Sorcerer" <Headmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 15 Aug 2006 08:22:07 GMT
-

"Felix of The North" <schmuckinitup@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message news:L7GdnbNFVaUFrXzZnZ2dnUVZ_vOdnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
| Here's what I'd like to do folks, we're gonna write a paper collectively,
| public is invited, everyone welcome, anyone can get their name on this
| thing.

| We develop a paper, and in a couple weeks we'll send it in to the APA –
| collectively

| I need a place to put it where anyone can edit the draft or document the
| research that they have done. I have already encountered the Wikipedia
| police, and so I'm thinking to put it on a public editable blog instead.

| Any suggestions ?

| I'm trying to put something together that will be something like the GIMPS
| (Great Internet Mersenne Prime Search), except that this will be more of a
| theoretical work.

| The central idea is already in place, just need some help making things
| precise, and probably some research for prior work. The neat thing is that
| we can have as many contributors as we want, anyone can get their name on
| it, and if there is no prior work like this then it would probably be
| accepted somewhere.

| Any takers ? Tips ?

Yes. I have a newsgroup, hogwarts.physics, a highly exclusive newsgroup
that only I can edit. You can do the same, create a new newsgroup anyone
can read but only a select few can write and which you can moderate.

Go to Google Groups and follow instructions on how to set it up.

You HAVE to keep the trolls out, that's a simple fact of life.

Keep in mind that if anyone can edit it, you'll need to police it.

Potential contributors should APPLY for editing privileges which
only you can grant or revoke.

Disagreement is inevitable.

Re: Trying to find some space for research paper.

Re: Trying to find some space for research paper.

I too ran foul of the Wackypedia police, the Wakypedia police are as prejudiced as any other.

Chris Hillman and Ed Schaefer are not honest, they claim that special relativity is based on only two premises whereas in fact the third premise, essential to the paper, is Einstein's own definition of time. That Einstein calls this a definition and not a postulate is a semantic issue, but I was not able to overcome prejudice.

A "couple of weeks" is highly optimistic unless the paper is trivial, few Ph.D candidates will produce a thesis in that time frame and what you suggest would take far longer as disagreements are resolved or not as the case may be. A year is more realistic.