

Re: space-time + matter/energy OR space-time-matter

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2006-12/msg01587.html>

- *From:* "T Wake" <usenet.es7at@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 9 Dec 2006 13:51:44 -0000
-

"Michael Hell" <mobydick@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1165665755.244192.246890@xx

<snip>

Many respectable people feel these ideas are worth considering, and the ideas interest me.

What about the ideas held by the majority of respectable scientists? Are they not worth considering? Fred Hoyle knew a lot and was a great thinker, but even he went off the rails eventually.

There can be two reasons why an "idea" is not mainstream. The normal one is that it is pants. One in a Bazillion turn out to be a great idea awaiting it's time.

Take your pick on the odds.

When are you going to learn calculus? You have such a boner for Leibniz's ideas, why do you shun calculus?

I don't shun calculus.

I'm just interested in other types of mathematics, particularly the mathematics at the heart of chaos, complexity, and game theory.

This is going to come back and haunt you.

.