

## Re: permanent magnet motor

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007-02/msg03005.html>

---

- *From:* "gdewilde@xxxxxxxx" <gdewilde@xxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* 18 Feb 2007 09:04:46 -0800
- 

On Feb 18, 10:00 am, "Eric Gisse" <jowr...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Feb 17, 9:31 pm, "gdewi...@xxxxxxxx" <gdewi...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

[...]

The Lorentz Force law absolutely denies magnetic forces doing work. I have told you this over and over – the force is perpendicular to the direction of movement so work is not done.

quote:

"Dr. Simanek's argument is that either the Cavorite motor, or its electrostatic counterpart, do not actually change in any way as they rotate. Therefore, he reasons, by Stevin's principle of virtual work, no energy is gained during the rotation and either motor, once started, will eventually stop because of friction, or, if we neglect friction, neither motor provides useful output work." <http://www.kilty.com/pmredux.htm>

In the context of my invention this claim doesn't hold at all. I explain here:

<http://gabydewilde.googlepages.com/magnetmotor-it-cant-be>

what word should use for accelerating mass as the result of magnetism. I'm using magneto-interaction now but that sounds very strange on an axle. Should I call it "virtual work"?... really?? Do you think anyone will buy that? I'm trying not to laugh.....

You have no understanding of what you are arguing against.

You have no idea of what I do or do not understand. You could only guess and a guess is just that. Your opinion is not factual because you say it is. In the end David kills Goliath. That's how it goes. LOL – I will use mind control and use my army of zombie scientists to conquer the world. – easy – no evidence needed.

Re: permanent magnet motor

As soon as I can accomplish a decent mathematical analysis of my apparatus I will have it evaluated by other physicians.

Don't you know the laws of physics?

To a level you will never achieve.

I appreciate your effort, thanks.

Then you should have no problem understanding Dr. Simanek's is wrong.

I claim that Friction = work

My evidence is very simple:

EBM makes free electricity by running an efficient electromotor that (apparently) also has a lot of friction. They use the (apparently free) heat to (apparently) push it over 100% efficiency.

<http://www.gammamanager.com>

Q18: How long does it take from ordering to commissioning a 5 MWe Unit?

A18: Approximately 15 months for the 1st unit, and 12 months for additional units.

They sell 5 megawatt units? huh?

You cant just dismiss this, it's very good physics by understatement.

Q19: What is the probability that the Technology will be commercially useful?

A19: It is already "useful", the probability is 100 %.

Q21. What are the expected profits in USD over the life time of the new technology?

A21: The pay-back of capital is less than 4 years for small units (less than 10 MWe) and less than 3 years for larger units. The pay-back period is expected to be shorter yet, after the automated "robotic manufacturing" begins.

humm, yes? [Friction = Work] or No?

-.-

<http://gabydewilde.googlepages.com/magnetmotor>

Re: permanent magnet motor

Re: permanent magnet motor

-----

<http://blog.360.yahoo.com/Factuurexpress?tag=energy&list=1>