

# Inverted Gedanken Form Clarified

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007-03/msg02096.html>

---

- *From:* "Douglas Eagleson" <[eaglesondouglas@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:eaglesondouglas@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 18 Mar 2007 09:21:59 -0700
- 

Inverted Gedanken Form

"A set of dog in a park caused the park's existence."

A dog set was to cause the park appears inverted form. A dog independent of park appears. And the fallacy as form was to be used to define.

A theoretical fallacy and not a form fallacy for only in physics may the park not be existing by dog effect.

So the usage was to be the alternative applied though experimental usage. A type of Gedanken. And to define type was the reason for the inverted.

So these terms mean exact things in physics, type, set class, existence, relation, function etc.

And the relation between all is a realm of the philosopher physicist. A student to formal the form of the science in relation to the form of statement. Here is a thing to consider.

Science as form uses a logical form different from common discourse. A structure to science appears well founded, but common discourse allows fallacy to be inferred unless equivalence is applied to test theory. SO the equivalence principle causes well founded science in the logic of common abstracted subject predicate.

Without the equivalence a form of validity would allow erratic experimental design.

So to example again:

Here is the goal, use inverted Gedanken. And appear to cause independence.

Pick an example any example, and I will use it in example.. This is a study of mine and I do need a real example to demonstrate.. Try a common theory.

## Inverted Gedanken Form Clarified

If you do not like this kind of logical analysis you might want to never try theoretical physics, btw.

.