

Re: 8 lessons on shooting engineers

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007-04/msg02543.html>

- *From:* rick_sobie@xxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 22 Apr 2007 12:57:35 -0700
-

On Apr 22, 8:18 pm, rick_so...@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Apr 22, 8:06 pm, rick_so...@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Who are the G7 is that the UN and do they have their won
military or
what?

The G7 nations are
Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, the United Kingdom,
the United
States of America

And the G8 includes Russia. And you can see about inviting
them in
when they can afford it, and if you think it would be
appropriate to
include them.

It is the wealthy nations.

They each have their own military.

It is not the UN, the UN disarmed Iraq so they could be
invaded when
helpless.

Re: 8 lessons on shooting engineers

They are finished in politics and are now a social institution for the distribution of charity.

SO then how will they divy up the spoils and manage this migrant worker business these new Vikings.

Well they have an organization already, and they can do what they think is necessary to make it work, but competition is what makes things work well. So those who can get projects under way, and who can find and supply labor for those projects, those who secure funding for the projects, those are who will be doing it.

And the militaries themselves also have to cooperate with each other, to move people around and to maybe even supply labor within their country for a project. So you want the rules to be at least close, so you can just transfer people and work things out financially. And Russia can be a part of it as well. To the degree they can afford to secure funding and get projects under way.

And there is no reason, not to supply the railroad in China with migrant workers if they want them and if it is cheaper to do that.

Or any country that is economically feasible to do.

So we will see a shift in economics from charity, to managing labor with regards to the IMF and World Bank as well.

When they invest into project, they will look at ways of utilizing labor, so that the countries they would ordinarily be giving forgivable loans to, can send workers and work for a living.

It is a more equal system, to allow others to work, rather than to just sink them into debt as has been the case.

Re: 8 lessons on shooting engineers

But the G7 or G8 those are the Vikings, they are economically driven, have the powerful militaries, and are in a position to assist the poorer countries, by helping them get their labor forces employed.

And if a poor country is starving, or has too many able bodied men, unemployed creating social unrest in a country, then it is a pressure release valve that can prevent civil crisis and conflict as well.

And it is none of our business how much or how little you pay them, as long as it is very very cheap, and they are given decent working conditions.

What seems like a small sum to us, may be a fortune to them at home. And along the way, we will preach to them about population control, and promote health, and provide whatever assistance we can to help them manage their problems as we have done in the past.

But it is not charity, it is work. Just like we right now are doing those jobs ourselves.

We are in a position now, to let other people do them.

And that in itself is charity, but its not going to be easy, because now we have to adjust our own social class structure up a notch, as seamlessly as possible, without people crying hey, those migrant workers are stealing our jobs.

Well you wouldn't do it, for what they will do it for. So get some training. Become a pointer not a digger.

And so in Canada, we will want to build a high speed rail service from coast to coast, fix up our old line in parallel and fix up the one highway we have, the number 1 highway which runs alongside the tracks at present.

And then branch out and hit both of our two cities and do some work projects along the way across, maybe even starting at places all along and joining up sections. (Well maybe we have 5 cities, and some villages that could use some wood chopped)

<http://www.fraserinstitute.ca/shared/readmore.asp?sNav=nr&id=522>

So we are in debt 171,000 as opposed to your 500,000 but they are bs'ing us, because in 1999 we owed 3.6 trillion and that was 117,000 per household. Now we only owe 2.5 trillion.

So we are in a good position to get some work done!

.