

## Re: GreenHouse Gas, H2O?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007-06/msg00379.html>

---

- *From:* "Ken S. Tucker" <[dynamics@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dynamics@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 04 Jun 2007 12:27:38 -0700
- 

On Jun 3, 11:58 pm, Dwib <[dwibd...@xxxxxxxxx](mailto:dwibd...@xxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Jun 1, 4:34?pm, smallpond <[smallp...@xxxxxxxxx](mailto:smallp...@xxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

If the CO2 is absorbing 99.999% of the IR, I wonder what is making my skin warm when I stand in the sunlight. Any idea?

First, I'm referring to the bands of IR absorption that CO2 absorbs, not the entire IR spectrum.

Second, I'm not sure if 99.999% is a correct absorption. From my wall chart it's a very strong absorption band... that's all I can tell.

I could reword my question to be:

How is the atmospheric absorption of IR light by CO2 related to the concentration of atmospheric CO2?

I imagine that above a certain CO2 concentration there will be a negligible increase in IR energy absorbed. Is our atmosphere at this critical concentration? Far below this point? Far above this point?

I'm hoping some climatologists read sci.physics and can clarify this point.

Dwib

Fair questions all.

The next question is how to acquire the necessary data scientifically, minimizing subjectivity, by getting the politicians and axe grinders out of the loop.

The dream would be calibrating Earth's albedo across the power input spectrum from the Sun, then in labs calibrating the results using tunable lasers in a chamber and then extrapolating.

That would in essence would be a specialized "analog

Re: GreenHouse Gas, H2O?

computer", where the gases are varied to sim atmospheres  
as is the frequency to map out the power spectrum.

Regards

Ken S. Tucker

PS: Personally, I think climate change debate  
resembles a school class spit ball fight than a  
careful scientific debate.

.