

Re: intrinsic energy

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007-07/msg00916.html>

- *From:* malibu <vegan16@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 14 Jul 2007 19:33:11 -0700
-

On Jul 14, 8:24 pm, Sam Wormley <sworml...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

malibu wrote:

On Jul 14, 2:28 pm, Sam Wormley <sworml...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

aegis wrote:

But how does that suggest that the vacuum
of space can
generate particles?

I doesn't--Do a bit of reading about vacuum energy
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vacuum_energy

Sam, I am becoming convinced that
you are either a robot or a complete schizophrenic.
Reading 3 paragraphs into this link-- which you have obviously not
read--
assuming you CAN read and comprehend-- we come to the following
statement:

"Vacuum energy has a number of consequences. For one, vacuum
fluctuations are always created as particle/antiparticle pairs."

You are a very strange man, Sam.

John

Re: intrinsic energy

And those vacuum fluctuations are detectable in experiment, John.

The Force of Empty Space

<http://focus.aps.org/story/v2/st28>

I think what is so frustrating about you, Sam, is
that you contradict yourself every time
you open your mouth.

But I'm starting to realize that this must be
a necessary skill to develop
in order to accept QM.

Write 1000 times on the blackboard, Sam—

paradox = wrong science

(for paradox read QM)

John

.