

Re: Oklahoma City Bombing "Conspiracy" Debunked

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007-07/msg00979.html>

- *From:* malibu <vegan16@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 15 Jul 2007 11:46:39 -0700
-

On Jul 15, 11:58 am, malibu <vega...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jul 15, 11:20 am, malibu <vega...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jul 15, 11:15 am, The_Man <me_so_hornee...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jul 15, 12:27 pm, malibu <vega...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Jul 15, 8:33 am, The_Man
<me_so_hornee...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

How many people have seen
a program on the Discovery
Channel called
"Conspiracy Test"? I am
amazed (but I shouldn't be)
that it received
very little news play.

In the program, the two rival
theories (FBI v. General
Partin) are
tested. The hosts build a
truck bomb a la Timothy
McVeigh, and then
test its effect on a concrete

Re: Oklahoma City Bombing "Conspiracy" Debunked

column like the one closest to McVeigh's Oklahoma City truck bomb. The distance from truck to bomb is identical to the theories of the Federal building bombing.

The FBI calculations predicted a force of 5,000 lbs. Those of General Partin (supposedly an ordnance expert) were 500 lbs of force – clearly not enough to have brought down the building. Based upon these calculations, General Partin suggested that other bombs had been planted inside the building. Does this sound similar to suggestions about a certain event in September 2001?

There was also a claim that seismographic data showed TWO tremors – inconsistent with a single truck bomb, but consistent with a truck bomb PLUS internal bombs.

Many people found the size of the hole in the street problematic, and the fact that the only part of the truck found was the rear axle, from which the ruck was actually identified.

Re: Oklahoma City Bombing "Conspiracy" Debunked

The program had access to the best seismographic equipment in the country, and had sensors on the concrete column to measure the ACTUAL force.

This a classic case of experiment versus theory.

Surprise, surprise!

The actual force measured was 5,500 lbs – consistent with the FBI's predictions, but NOT General Partin. It turns out the military "Expert" was off by a whole order of magnitude. If I made a prediction in a very serious matter that was off by an order of magnitude, I would be very depressed and humiliated. Not the General, though. He was still sticking by his invalidated results. Perhaps the "skills" that got the General his high rank were not in mathematics.

But what about the TWO seismic events? There were two seismic events with THIS truck bomb, too. In fact (Though this was not mentioned on the program), the test bomb shakes were even

Re: Oklahoma City Bombing "Conspiracy" Debunked

FURTHER apart from each other than the ones at Oklahoma City.

What about the truck? It was completely vaporized in the test – except for ... drum role please.... the rear axle.

And the hole? The crater in the test was actually SMALLER than the hole at the Murrah Building, even though it was conducted on soft earth, and not a city street.

Hmmmm. Does this give me any hints as to the accuracy of the conspiracy theorists about 911 or the Moon "hoax"?

Except I watched the live on-site newscasts where they reported finding a second unexploded device, and I have seen recordings of these newscasts since.

Have you ever watched an episode of "Cops"? Have you heard the explanations of events by witnesses there? Are you really that "surprised" and "shocked" that stupid people jump to conclusions or just plain make shit up?

Re: Oklahoma City Bombing "Conspiracy" Debunked

Surprise, surprise– suddenly there are no
more
mention of any sophisticated bombs;

Yeh, because there WERE no bombs – just badly shaken
people jumping to
conclusions.

just some good
ol boy and his truck a' manure

You probably believe all the witnesses at the Salem Witch
Trials, who
said they saw levitations and witches and spells. The "truth"
of the
reality of witches was "suppressed" by the Massachusetts
Bay Colony.
Can you say "fascism"?

Same old, same old.
The government is telling the truth, the
whole truth,
and nothing but the truth– until 25 years
later, when
it don't matter any more.
Can you say 'Fascism'?

John.– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Do you still believe Pearl Harbour was a surprise?
Now that it has been admitted that it wasn't, do
you believe the *before* government story or
do you believe the *after 25 years* government
story. (or 50 years or whatever)

Re: Oklahoma City Bombing "Conspiracy" Debunked

They are diametrically opposed, but
they are *both* official stories—
which do you believe?

Or perhaps you believe both? With training
in QM you probably could, come to think of it,
without a qualm and eating your jam and toast with a smile.

John

no answer?
i thot not

Still silent?

It makes it pretty hard to argue that the
gov't is telling the truth, when they have
already admitted that they lied to you about
things like Pearl Harbour, doesn't it?

Does it occur to you that they lie as a matter of course?

Do you wonder *why* they are
lying to you as a matter of course?

Do you think lying is a *good* thing?

If they asked you to go online
and deflect any efforts to determine the truth
with more lies, would you do it?

Is that in fact what you are doing?

Do you have any morals?

Do you think morals are a *good* thing?

Do you think morals are only applicable
within the framework of religion?

WHERE exactly are you coming from

Re: Oklahoma City Bombing "Conspiracy" Debunked

Re: Oklahoma City Bombing "Conspiracy" Debunked

horny MAN?

John

.