

Re: intrinsic energy

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007-07/msg00980.html>

- *From:* malibu <vegan16@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 15 Jul 2007 11:50:33 -0700
-

On Jul 15, 12:45 pm, Sam Wormley <sworml...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

malibu wrote:

On Jul 14, 9:37 pm, Sam Wormley <sworml...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

malibu wrote:

On Jul 14, 8:55 pm, Sam Wormley
<sworml...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

I don't understand your
frustration, John. The world
is not Newtonian.
Oh, most of what we
experience is certainly well
described by Newton,
Maxwell and the like... but
we have copious evidence
for far stranger
phenomena that require
fruitful theories like
relativity and the quantum
electrodynamics to explain.
It's a wonderful and
fascinating world, John!
Hey, did you see that photon
fly by, John? Good! Neither
did I. If one
of us had seen it... it
wouldn't exist anymore!
Cheers,
-Sam

I don't understand why you prefer to not
understand, Sam.
Keep asking 'why' until you get a proper
answer, Sam. Don't be satisfied with
the pap that you spout.

Re: intrinsic energy

It is not and never will be an answer to say
"because the world is strange."
Our effort with our Science is to make thing
not strange.
It's called *understanding*, Sam, and it
cannot be accomplished by teaching
people that it cannot be accomplished. That
is Anti-Science, Sam. Its name is QM.
John

QM explains many things, John. QM has contributed,
necessarily, to our
modern society with GPS, Cell Phones and CD players.

I'm not saying it isn't a tool.
It's just a very clumsy tool that is preventing the
development of better tools.

I doubt that the quantum mechanics is preventing any development.

Doesn't matter what your theory—
if you pour enough money in, you're bound to stumble
into something sooner or later. MANY of our
more important discoveries are
purely serendipitous— hindsight is 20/20 no
matter what your theory.
The foresight of QM isn't 20/20 by a longshot.
It's certainly not good enough for me— truthfully, Sam
is it good enough for you? Is it the final
understanding? I hope not.

John

I suspect the current tools (fruitful as they are) will be improved
upon in the future. Progress has been pretty steady on the last
century or two.

QM has gone as far as "we can't know more" in numerous
areas. It's a dead end.
Yes, there are some houses, but it's not
a through street.

Re: intrinsic energy

Re: intrinsic energy

anyone who says its the only road
will go no farther.

John

.