

Re: Kinetic Space Theory Proposes Space Moves

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007-08/msg01958.html>

- *From:* "Androcles" <Engineer@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 21 Aug 2007 14:14:18 GMT
-

<mh@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1187701492.305179.297370@xx
: On Aug 21, 5:42 am, "Androcles" <Engin...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
: > <m...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
: >
: > news:1187696833.172562.100370@xx
: > : On Aug 21, 1:23 am, "Androcles" <Engin...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
: > : > "qbit" <q...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
: > : >
: > : > [news:fae4jg\\$ce6\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:fae4jg$ce6$1@xxxxxxxxxxx)
: > : > : "Androcles" <Engin...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

<m...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

: >
: > : >
: > : > : >
: > : > : > : c is a function of the permittivity and permeability of space.
: > : > : >
: > : > : > That's aether all right, and it doesn't work.
: > : > : >
: > : > : > You are wrong, Androcles.
: > : > : >
: > : > : > No I'm not, you are.
: > : > : >
: > : > : > Just apply some logic:
: > : > : >
: > : > : > I'm very good at that.
: > : > : >
: > : > : > if one, or both, of permittivity and
: > : > : > permeability of space were different then obviously also
: > : > : > c would have a different value. Don't you agree?
: > : > : >
: > : > : > No. Planets would interact with the medium, slow and fall into the
Sun.
: > : > : > Just apply some logic. Space is nothing and has no properties.
: > : > : > Bullets fly through it unimpeded and so does light.
: > : > : >

Re: Kinetic Space Theory Proposes Space Moves

:> :> : Therefore
:> :>
:> :> I don't agree so there is no "therefore".
:> :> Just apply some logic.
:> :
:> :
:> :
:> : Planets do not interact with the permittivity and permeability of free
:> : space for the same reason that waving an electromagnet in the air
:> : fails to cause electricity to flow in the electromagnet's circuit.
:> :
:> : Light does not interact with space for the same reason that waving
:> : a magnet in nothing causes a voltage to appear.
:> : $E = -dB/dt$ (Faraday)
:> : <http://www.androcles01.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/AC/m.gif>
:> :
:> : The relationship between the magnet and the windings that surround it
:> : does not change when you wave both in the air.
:> :
:> : All motion is relative. Space is nothing so nothing can be relative to
it.
:> :
:> : The key to creating
:> : electromagnetic changes is to create a change in the relationship
:> : between a magnetic field and an electric field (according to Faraday's
:> : Law).
:> :
:> : And nothing whatever to do with the empty space.
:> :
:> : This happens in an electromagnet when you move the magnet in
:> : space with respect to the loose electrons (the electric fields) in
:> : adjacent windings or you change the field intensity of the magnetic
:> : field in the magnet (which is what happens in transformers).
:> :
:> : And nothing whatever to do with the empty space.
:> :
:> :
:> : The definition of permittivity is the measure of the ability of an
:> : entity to develop an electric field.
:> :
:> : And nothing whatever to do with the empty space.
:> :
:> : The definition of permeability
:> : is the measure of the ability of an entity to develop an electric
:> : field.
:> :
:> : And nothing whatever to do with the empty space.
:> :
:> : Since empty space contains non-zero permittivity and permeability
:> : values,
:> :
:> :

Re: Kinetic Space Theory Proposes Space Moves

: > I do not accept that. Prove it.
:
: ???
:
: I don't know

Of course you don't. You believe without proof, I do not.

: what to say to the question of space not containing non-
: zero permittivity and permeability values. So says the NIST and every
: other scientific body. Why do you think that is not so?

I asked for proof, not the opinion of NIST or anyone else.
Provide proof and I'll answer your question.

: The trouble with forums like this is you never know if somebody just
: doesn't know science or they have some alternate interesting theory.

You believe without proof, I do not. Are you somebody who just
doesn't know science ?

: Do you have some reason for disputing why empty space possesses
: permittivity and permeability?

Yes.

<http://www.androcles01.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/Algol/Algol.htm>

:
:
: The deal is that if empty space does have the ability to develop
: electric and magnetic fields

It doesn't. I asked for proof, not handwaving.

which is what permittivity and
: permeability are all about, then what does that mean?

It means you have not provided the proof I requested.

:
: Doesn't that gives us a very strong clue as to what electricity and
: magnetism really are.

No, it's guessing, and the aether was tossed out with the Michelson
and Morley experiment. Some people forgot to toss out its properties

Re: Kinetic Space Theory Proposes Space Moves

as well.