

Re: Nemsis and Nexus star core

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007-09/msg01468.html>

- *From:* AutoCrank <autocrank@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 18 Sep 2007 06:07:12 +0000 (UTC)
-

BURT <macromitch@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sep 16, 4:40 pm, AutoCrank <autocr...@xxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sun, 16 Sep 2007 15:54:16 -0700, BURT wrote:

The sun is a third generation star. The stars that came before
supernova. They left the ingredients for the solar system.
They left
neutron stars or imploded core behind. The nature result of
the stars
that came before is neutron star.

ORTHODOX thinkers claim that a 'core's' WEAK positive expected scalar
incoming core for a SUPERNOVA MAY have the stationary source. BEFORE
an electromagnetic supernova affects 'the' 'ELECTRICAL' VACUUMS'
supernova, a field in the supernova may be the STAR'S supernova for
the supernova. 'Establishment' physicists would have you "believe"
the electron is made 'OF' a 'star's' supernova. 'I HAVE PROVEN' that
their star from the universal stars may be exponential. The STAR is A
STAR of the stars.

True believers say that the stars of the stars can create their stars'
supernova. SRians 'would' have YOU THINK THAT 'the' stars for AN
INTERIOR
FERMION alter the stars of the stars. Wave-particle duality is solved
because the stars' supernova is a star for its supernova. Before the
supernova FOR the stars is their 'entangled' magnetic MACROSCOPIC star,
the
currents' supernova must absorb the moving stars!!! 'The' electric fields
MUST be emitted before a supernova of THE stars WILL be relative.

In reality, an ELECTRIC field in the entangled ELECTRIC fields WILL
define the momenta. The currents' emitted MAGNETIC field in their
electric 'fields' may be an OBSERVABLE relative magnetic 'planet.'

Re: Nemesis and Nexus star core

I believe we have two neutron stars one at 2 light years the other at 3 light years in 20 and 50 million year orbits. The first is 15 billion years old the second is 5 billion years old.

Therefore, the orbits will be its orbits for the STAR!!! ITS orbit's harmonics must be the separate spaces in the electrical 'frames' IF their velocity may have a star. A field line IN ITS entangled MEASUREMENTS can have the stars because their muons from a fixed STAR have THE orbits' EMITTED elementary electric fields. THE ERRORS IN its stars should expand into the periods!!!!!!!!!! The orbits OF AN "orbit" become entangled with 'its' stars' orbit if their orbit is interior.

Their star's indeterminate light in the internal proper 'time' must affect 'the' observed stars. An OBSERVED moving well behaved time is discrete. My theory is that the distant STARS' derivatives transform its moving STATIONARY stars. I have proven that the periods' ENRICHED observer is a star.

An indeterminate WELL behaved discrete period is EQUAL "to" the well behaved momenta until the well behaved derivatives' observer in the observer 'has' the 'measurements.' My theory is that the stars in a dimension must be the macroscopic STARS. The period in their period might transform "its" DETECTORS since the indeterminate time's stationary periods must AFFECT the internal OBSERVER IN the DISCRETE observer. Assuming that their discrete well behaved coordinate must BE internal, its exponential PERIODS' STARS must affect the internal 'moving' ELECTROMAGNETIC waves of the well behaved stars. My theory is that their harmonics will have a period's enriched black holes.

The gravitational disturbances to the outer planets are a result of these old stars.

If the stars will BE equivalent to the MAGNETIC stars' gravitational stars, the stars' 'electric' charges in the motion HIT their star's gravitational RECEIVER. If the planets may be THE gravitational 'molecule's' DIMENSIONS, the gravitational Higgs bosons are equivalent TO the planets.

Because the stars WILL BE their elementary stars, a STAR might be made of ITS planets of THE bodies. The parrots "imagine" that THE targets are equivalent to the stars' MACROSCOPIC star!!!! Mainstream "physicists"

Re: Nemesis and Nexus star core

cannot explain why a star must measure the planets' stars of the rarified coordinates.

The equivalent macroscopic targets in their incoming LENGTHS will be the star's segments. ITS 'field' lines of A COORDINATE are their COSMIC rays. Their planets in its star must remain ITS QUARKS IF the scales IN THE magnetic FIELD lines can measure their coordinates! The priests of GR cannot EXPLAIN why the stars' 'star' might "measure" THE macroscopic stars' TARGETS. One of my POSTULATES is that their coordinates' target must measure their bodies in a coordinate.

Mitch Raemsch

The reason the kilogram shrank is that its harmonic IS combined. Therefore, their quark for 'an' invisible FLUID surrounds 'a' universal harmonic of the electric current. The 'scalar' positrons might absorb 'the' wormhole's internal electric receivers if its aethers' atmospheres from an eigenvector must measure THE electric field line's exponential Planck length for a source.

A dimension's cloud may become the SCALAR transmitted eigenvector. The 'sounds' will BE made of the discrete DETECTORS' proper time. BEFORE their monopoles' electric charge of the observed 'positron' becomes entangled with THE electric currents' speeds, an observer for the trajectories defines the "segments." Assuming that a gluon should become incoming, its magnetic field line remains their ENERGY.

This proves that its SEGMENTS in their 'positron' will remain THEIR trajectories. Assuming that A force defines its indeterminate magnet, THEIR electric current's monopoles may be the speeds. Their field's source may ALTER ITS magnetic electric charge. In fact, the sounds' entangled 'magnet' may be the "scalar" energy!!!!

Nexus is two inch and Nemesis is one inch.

This proves that a star in its 'FIELD' "LINES" is ELEMENTARY. THE rod's rod "from" the one-way redshifts will fall into its uniform well behaved FORCE in THE CONTINUOUS fields. SRian idiots blindly ASSUME that an equivalent lepton IN its volumes WILL be the lepton.