

Re: How to fix New York

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007-11/msg01054.html>

- *From:* "Androcles" <Engineer@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 15 Nov 2007 19:35:10 GMT
-

"Uncle Al" <UncleAl0@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:473C984F.6EDA3A2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

: 1) Idiot.

Fuckhead.

: 3) Idiot

Dumbfuck.

: 5) Idiot.

Arsehole.

: 6) Ineducable idiot.

Useless Ineducable arsehole.

Catch 22:

<http://www.fourmilab.ch/etexts/einstein/specrel/www/figures/img22.gif>

<http://www.fourmilab.ch/etexts/einstein/specrel/www/figures/img76.gif>

Heller wrote: "There was only one catch and that was Catch 22, which specified that a concern for one's safety in the face of dangers that were real and immediate was the process of a rational mind.

"Orr (a character in the novel) was crazy and could be grounded. All he had to do was ask, and as soon as he did, he would no longer be crazy and would have to fly more missions.

"Orr would be crazy to fly more missions and sane if he didn't, but if he was sane he had to fly them. If he flew them he was crazy and didn't have to; but if he didn't want to he was sane and had to."

In Einstein's case if you use $c+v$ you can derive $c = (c+v)/(1+v/c)$ from the cuckoo malformations he blamed on Lorentz. That says you can't

Re: How to fix New York

use $c+v$.

What troll kooks like Schwartz, Poe, McCullough, Roberts, Draper, Lawrence, Andersen et. al. fail to realise is the existence of isomorphism

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isomorphism>

between Sagnac's real experiment and Einstein's hallucination experiment, shown here:

<http://www.androcles01.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/TwoSpeedRack.gif>

Einstein sends light along the rack and back again, the rack moving at velocity v in his pipe dream.

Sagnac sends the light around the gear wheel for real.

If you analyse one you should get the same result as the other, but you cannot use SR to derive SR, that is *petitio principii*, circularity.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Begging_the_question

$c+v$ is essential to the derivation of the cuckoo malformations, the part where Einstein screws up is:

'we establish by definition that the "time" required by light to travel from A to B equals the "time" it requires to travel from B to A' because I SAY SO. --- Rabbi Albert Einstein

<http://www.androcles01.pwp.blueyonder.co.uk/Smart/tAB=tBA.gif>

Here are some mathematical proofs:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mathematical_proof

Not included are

Proof by "because I say so",

Proof by "everybody knows",

Proof by "it is written",

the three most popular forms used in sci.physics.relativity.

You'll often see this pathetic mob muttering "Lorentz Transformations" but they haven't a clue how they are derived and faithfully follow their indoctrination like lemmings.

Catch 22:

<http://www.fourmilab.ch/etexts/einstein/specrel/www/figures/img22.gif>

<http://www.fourmilab.ch/etexts/einstein/specrel/www/figures/img76.gif>

Prediction:

The troll kooks will ignore it, they are too stooopid to understand a proof.

: ---

: Uncle Al

Re: How to fix New York

Re: How to fix New York

: <http://www.mazepath.com/uncleal/>

: (Toxic URL! Unsafe for children and most mammals)

: <http://www.mazepath.com/uncleal/lajos.htm#a2>

No brain.

.