

Re: perpetual motion

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007-11/msg01873.html>

- *From:* "gdewilde@xxxxxxxx" <gdewilde@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 27 Nov 2007 19:42:50 -0800 (PST)
-

On Nov 28, 3:10 am, Uncle Al <Uncle...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"gdewi...@xxxxxxxx" wrote:

[snip]

We now replicate the spring/rope puling contest using magnets.

[snip]

- 1) Time is (locally) homogeneous.
- 2) Noether's theorems.
- 3) Mass-energy is (locally) conserved.
- 4) Idiot.

A perpetual motion machine **must** contain magnets, strobes, liquid nitrogen fog, and the manual transmission from a 1979 Honda Civic. Electrical arcs are superfluous.

<http://www.mazepath.com/uncleal/htoo.htm>

Idiot.

--

Uncle Al<http://www.mazepath.com/uncleal/>
(Toxic URL! Unsafe for children and most mammals)<http://www.mazepath.com/uncleal/lajos.htm#a2>

Noether's theorem is not applicable as this is not a constant symmetry.

There are 3 points not 2.

A pushing force added up with a pulling force will always have a smaller resultant as either the push or the pull. The sum may even approach zero while still doing useful work.

Re: perpetual motion

I don't see what is so complicated about this. I think you went through the first lessons much too quickly then got confused at the end.

But I'm such a good teacher.

I will teach you stubborn student also.

You are trying to convince me Joe is now up against both the Goat and the Horse but that's not the case.

The Goat was pushing remember?

:~)

<http://blog.360.yahoo.com/factuurexpress>

Re: perpetual motion