

Re: Why physicists conceal the evidence on God, eternal soul, another world, etc. (PDE JP)

## Re: Why physicists conceal the evidence on God, eternal soul, another world, etc. (PDE JP)

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2007–12/msg01077.html>

---

- *From:* "Autymn D. C." <[lysdexia@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:lysdexia@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 21 Dec 2007 02:17:22 –0800 (PST)
- 

On Dec 7, 11:41 pm, janpa...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Dec 7, 12:08 pm, "AutymnD. C." <[lysde...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:lysde...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:  
... (see above)

Well, I will paraphrase Voltaire and say "I do not agree with what you have to say, but I'll defend your right to say it." However, the

You are delusional and mentally ill. You ignore my arguments and proofs and questions, which you don't bother to answer.

problem is that in your criticism you try to rewrite textbooks of physics, by claiming all these preposterous things, as that there is NO wave particle duality of matter, that electromagnetic waves are NOT transverse, etc. – all these aligned with atheistic suppression of

Interpretation is not as important as aitiòlògy. The wave–mote duality does not hinder fysics: <http://google.com/groups?q=Autymn+Sunday+March>. And I never said EM were "NOT transverse"; I said they were not onely transverse.

truth about God, soul, another world, etc. You also seem to have a

Antitheists do the suppressing. I am a spiritualist but also antitheist and atheist, a very rare breed.

strong personal opinion about myself, and love repeating the word NO without any justification – I believe in all cases when you do NOT

NO is a acrònum, not a word, and my words are truthes and not opinions/throws; the reasons are there if you could read them.

Re: Why physicists conceal the evidence on God, eternal soul, another world, etc. (PDE JP)

have an argument to contrary. As long as all these products of your

My arguments are in Google's arkivs. I link to them often as much of my work is done already.

private views and imagination remain just as your private ones, that is OK. However, when you use these views in order to prevent other people from having access to information about the wealth of evidence on God, eternal soul, another world, etc., then it becomes very immoral and bad. And you actually do this in your writing above – i.e. you actively try to prevent other people from learning about this evidence by claiming in the name of physics a lot of preposterous

This bullshit is already in your signature; I don't prevent others information; I flood them with information against their beliefs. And I said nothing against a soul, eternity, or other world, but everything against your confusion of them.

things that actually are untrue and that textbooks of physics do not claim at all. So in your above post you actively try to mislead the readers into false beliefs and into atheism. Your writing is an

wrong

excellent example how far one can go against the duty to present in Internet a balanced information and truth, not just twisted disinformation which runs against the known accomplishments of present human sciences.

If you cannot prove my proof-of-you-wrong wrong, then you stay wrong. This goes for everyone else I've proven wrong:

<http://google.com/groups?q=Autymn+dark-energy>  
<http://google.com/groups?q=Autymn+cold-fusion>  
<http://google.com/groups?q=Autymn+electrets>  
<http://google.com/groups?q=Autymn+fast-food>  
<http://google.com/groups?q=Autymn+Bzzt>  
<http://google.com/groups?q=Autymn+refutation|permanent|perpetual>

If you don't bother to follow my trail of links, and links of links, and read and con every one of my posts they hold, and realise how they pertain to your subjects and beliefs, then you may not bother me,

Re: Why physicists conceal the evidence on God, eternal soul, another world, etc. (PDE JP)

Usenet, or the internet with more of your inane babbling.

For me highly puzzling is why you present all this disinformation. After all, to develop your own physics which is contradictive to physics we know of, just in order to conceal again the evidence regarding God, soul, another world, etc., you must have an important reason for it. Are you actually one of these serpents–UFOnauts about which I wrote in the thread [http://groups.google.com/group/sci.physics/browse\\_thread/thread/f43b7...](http://groups.google.com/group/sci.physics/browse_thread/thread/f43b7...) ?

There is a web page which I wrote. It argues practically with almost all matters that you raised in your writing. I prepared this web page because serpents–UFOnauts keep repeating like a faulty records always the same arguments – which also are present in your writing. So I will

No, this is how /you/ argu, with repetitions: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mojo\\_Jojo#Voice](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mojo_Jojo#Voice). I already rad your material; don't tell me what I already know and know better than. Unless you quote and deal with each of my points in my original posts, you shall shut up and rot. It does /not/ take shorter than a day to read all of my citations.

You should also know thas there are huge threads on Topix and JREF forums, over 10,000 and 500 posts each, which cover every aspect of theòlògy, theism, and the holy books, and which utterly dash every Kristian belief, claim, and argument. Your work is cut out for you.

–Aut

.