

JSH: Relevance to physics field

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-01/msg00722.html>

- *From:* JSH <jstevh@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 9 Jan 2008 13:25:23 -0800 (PST)
-

My degree is in physics as I have my B.Sc. from Vanderbilt University, so I have an interest in the vitality of the field. In the math field "pure math" took over as a concept where opinion ruled for ideas not checkable or difficult to check by people outside the field.

I suggest to you that given the ability to make claims backed up primarily by others supporting those claims, mathematicians learned to just lie about mathematics and the most dramatic proof now is the solution to the factoring problem I've found and their refusal to acknowledge it DESPITE the reality that denial is only a temporary hedge against inevitable revelation.

So they claimed that factoring was a hard problem without being able to prove it mathematically, and relied on the supposed inability of anyone to find a simple solution.

Their lack of real expertise is betrayed by no one finding the solution which I've posted, and by their refusal to acknowledge now that it is extant.

Consider ten people known to be mathematicians where 9 of them claim one thing is true, while 1 disagrees saying it's false. Will not the weight of "expert opinion" prevail in a "pure math" area where experiment cannot show which side is true?

Now then, what if the 9 are con artists who know this reality?

Their biggest export to physics is this concept of areas where the majority can make up things in areas difficult to check, so you can consider them the source for the popularity of "string theory"—an area where experiment is suddenly out the window.

Sir Isaac Newton brought forward the modern scientific field by pushing for experiments versus people idly speculating about how the world worked, and now humanity is going backwards propelled by people I can prove are con artists, who are just looking for an easy way to make money.

I see them as a parasitic and not intelligent enough, or caring enough

JSH: Relevance to physics field

to worry about stopping human progress, as if they spread, they can take over all our basic sciences with this technique.

Given enough time they could reach a point where even experiment would not be able to stop them as consider the scientific evidence used by Dr. Halton Arp in the cosmology field to see how they can handle ANY evidence used against those relying on the group to block knowledge.

Just to give you some sense of the scope and size of this radical movement against human intellect, consider politics, where no evidence matters, like with the US invasion of Iraq, and how easily that was accomplished and maintained against the evidence.

We are witnessing today an attempt at a revolution in the way human beings learn, which is a revolution against knowledge at all levels, with people who have won most of the battles, and now can make up science, math, and politics at will.

If they succeed in winning the war, then there is no future for humanity.

James Harris

.