

Re: Nanohydrogenerator Mk II

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-02/msg01922.html>

- *From:* "hhc314@xxxxxxxx" <hhc314@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 25 Feb 2008 20:10:36 -0800 (PST)
-

On Feb 25, 10:43 pm, Automutt <autom...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

SEC=UNCLASSIFIED]

Subject: Nanohydrogenerator Mk II with JIT Processing

Due to developments in the nanoextraction of hydrogen from sea water, the hydrogenerator has been revised with this cheaper and more efficient system.

Due to the dangers in storing vast amounts of hydrogen we at NGen have instituted a "Just In Time" Processing Model allowing with pipeline and in-situ sea water extraction, the process of safe, secure- ease power generation on massive scales...

Of course conversion of coal fired steam turbine power stations is our stated and achievable goal, we need a bit of help as we bring in the big bucks to by them all up, please do not oppose us in this as we have more money than the federal government, and we don't want to start pissing in each other's pockets, now do we?

Patent office arms race will hurt nanotechnology

<http://www.foresight.org/>

<http://www.foresight.org/>

<http://www.foresight.org/>

Patent office arms race will hurt nanotechnology

There's an arms race between government patent offices and patent filers assisted by private law firms. The folks who work for the former get paid a lot less than the those who work for the latter. This leads to a continual drain away from government review of patent applications toward private generation of patent applications. A San Jose Mercury News blog entry explains more of the problem, which will affect all areas of

Re: Nanohydrogenerator Mk II

technology but especially new, complex, multidisciplinary ones such as nanotechnology:

Dilbert is alive and well and managing the Patent office

<http://automutt.deviantart.com/>

Your post make me damn thankful that our nation is not yet putting silly stuff like this under any security classification.

Harry C.