

Re: Absolute reality -> mind -> Relative Reality

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-03/msg00415.html>

- *From:* Michael Helland <mobydikc@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 5 Mar 2008 10:32:33 -0800 (PST)
-

On Mar 5, 10:30 am, Eric Gisse <jowr...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 5, 9:23 am, Michael Helland <mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 5, 8:30 am, Eric Gisse <jowr...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 5, 6:15 am, Michael Helland
<mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Both Einstein and Newton looked at the
universe like this:

Absolute reality -> mind -> Relative
Reality

Newton thought his mathematics represented
absolute reality.

Einstein's mathematics are of relative reality.

Has anyone considered how to depict the
entire expression (Absolute
reality -> mind -> Relative Reality) with
mathematics?

Re: Absolute reality → mind → Relative Reality

More philosophical bullshit.

It's a pretty clear challenge for mathematics.

Can mathematics replace the entire expression?

Since it took you several years to learn freshman level calculus, I doubt you are qualified to even ask that question.

Why do you even post?

Do you have a bad attitude because you're trying to impress other people with bad attitudes?

Or maybe I'm trying to convey the feeling that your philosophical nonsense isn't appreciated. How many years have you been parroting this bullshit? How much closer are you to computing a number?

I would guess I'm less than a decade from a hypothesis that works for all classical, quantum, and relativistic phenomena.

.