Re: Absolute reality −> mind −> Relative Reality

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008−03/msg00418.html

- *From*: Michael Helland <mobydikc@xxxxxxxxx>
- *Date*: Wed, 5 Mar 2008 11:40:56 −0800 (PST)

On Mar 5, 11:12 am, Eric Gisse <jowr...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

> On Mar 5, 9:32 am, Michael Helland <mobyd...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:
>
> > On Mar 5, 10:30 am, Eric Gisse <jowr...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:
> >
> > > On Mar 5, 9:23 am, Michael Helland
> > > <mobyd...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:
> > >
> > > > On Mar 5, 8:30 am, Eric Gisse
> > > > <jowr...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > On Mar 5, 6:15 am, Michael
> > > > > Helland
> > > > > <mobyd...@xxxxxxxxx>
> > > > > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Both
> > > > > > Einstein and
> > > > > > Newton
> > > > > > looked at
> > > > > > the universe
> > > > > > like this:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Absolute
> > > > > > reality −>

mind −>
Relative
Reality

Newton
thought his
mathematics
represented
absolute
reality.

Einstein's
mathematics
are of
relative
reality.

Has anyone
considered
how to
depict the
entire
expression
(Absolute
reality −>
mind −>
Relative
Reality)
with
mathematics?

More philosophical bullshit.

It's a pretty clear challenge for mathematics.

Can mathematics replace the entire
expression?

> Since it took you several years to learn freshman level calculus, I
> doubt you are qualified to even ask that question.

Why do you even post?

> > Do you have a bad attitude because you're trying to impress other
> > people with bad attitudes?

> > Or maybe I'm trying to convey the feeling that your philosophical
> > nonsense isn't appreciated. How many years have you been parroting
> > this bullshit? How much closer are you to computing a number?

> > > I would guess I'm less than a decade from a hypothesis that works for all classical, quantum, and relativistic phenomena.

> > Does that include actually learning enough mathematics and physics to cover that? I really doubt it.

"I was inspired by the remarks in these books; not by the parts in which everything was proved and demonstrated carefully and calculated, because I couldn't understand those very well. At the young age what I could understand were the remarks about the fact that this doesn't make any sense, and the last sentence of the book of Dirac I can still remember, "It seems that some essentially new physical ideas are here needed." So, I had this as a challenge and an inspiration. I also had a personal feeling, that since they didn't get a satisfactory answer to the problem I wanted to solve, I don't have to pay a lot of attention to what they did do."

http://nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/physics/laureates/1965/feynman−lecture.html

In the end our work is guided by reality. If all the currently existing mathematics and physics (
.