

Re: Absolute reality -> mind -> Relative Reality

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-03/msg00440.html>

- *From:* Eric Gisse <jowr.pi@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 5 Mar 2008 19:29:24 -0800 (PST)
-

On Mar 5, 10:40 am, Michael Helland <mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 5, 11:12 am, Eric Gisse <jowr...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 5, 9:32 am, Michael Helland <mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 5, 10:30 am, Eric Gisse <jowr...@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Mar 5, 9:23 am, Michael Helland
<mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 5, 8:30 am, Eric
Gisse
<jowr...@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Mar 5,
6:15 am,
Michael
Helland
<mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Both
Einstein

Re: Absolute reality → mind → Relative Reality

and
Newton
looked
at
the
universe
like
this:

Absolute
reality
→
mind
→
Relative
Reality

Newton
thought
his
mathematics
represented
absolute
reality.

Einstein's
mathematics
are
of
relative
reality.

Has
anyone
considered
how
to
depict
the
entire
expression
(Absolute
reality

Re: Absolute reality -> mind -> Relative Reality

->
mind
->
Relative
Reality)
with
mathematics?

More
philosophical
bullshit.

It's a pretty clear challenge
for mathematics.

Can mathematics replace the
entire expression?

Since it took you several years to learn
freshman level calculus, I
doubt you are qualified to even ask that
question.

Why do you
even post?

Do you have a bad attitude
because you're trying to
impress other
people with bad attitudes?

Or maybe I'm trying to convey the feeling
that your philosophical
nonsense isn't appreciated. How many years
have you been parroting
this bullshit? How much closer are you to
computing a number?

Re: Absolute reality -> mind -> Relative Reality

I would guess I'm less than a decade from a hypothesis that works for all classical, quantum, and relativistic phenomena.

Does that include actually learning enough mathematics and physics to cover that? I really doubt it.

"I was inspired by the remarks in these books; not by the parts in which everything was proved and demonstrated carefully and calculated, because I couldn't understand those very well. At the young age what I could understand were the remarks about the fact that this doesn't make any sense, and the last sentence of the book of Dirac I can still remember, "It seems that some essentially new physical ideas are here needed." So, I had this as a challenge and an inspiration. I also had a personal feeling, that since they didn't get a satisfactory answer to the problem I wanted to solve, I don't have to pay a lot of attention to what they did do."

http://nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/physics/laureates/1965/feynman-lec...

In the end our work is guided by reality. If all the currently existing mathematics and physics (

You are not Feynman.

You haven't studied physics at any significant level. You have no understanding of classical mechanics, special or general relativity, quantum theory, or any other fucking thing. You have spent the last four years or so spewing your nonsense onto this newsgroup and you are not one fucking inch closer to being able to understand – much less replicate – even the simplest things in physics.

In conclusion, shut the fuck up until you get educated.

.