Re: Absolute reality −> mind −> Relative Reality

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008−03/msg00910.html

- *From*: Michael Helland <mobydikc@xxxxxxxxx>
- *Date*: Mon, 10 Mar 2008 20:16:43 −0700 (PDT)

On Mar 10, 3:39 pm, theman <genericjoe2...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

> On Mar 10, 6:10 pm, Michael Helland <mobyd...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:
>
> > On Mar 10, 2:50 pm, theman <genericjoe2...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:
> >
> > > On Mar 6, 7:31 pm,
> > > MichaelHelland<mobyd...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:
> > > <snip> worthless mental sputum, or snot....
> >
> > > "What is NKS?
> >
> > > It is a new kind of science based on simple
> > > programs. Almost all the
> > > science that's been done for the past three
> > > hundred or so years has
> > > been based in the end on the idea that things
> > > in our universe somehow
> > > follow rules that can be represented by
> > > traditional mathematical
> > > equations. The basic idea that underlies A
> > > New Kind of Science is that
> > > that's much too restrictive, and that in fact
> > > one should consider the
> > > vastly more general kinds of rules that can
> > > be embodied, for example,
> > > in computer programs.
> >
> > > Instead of asking what mathematical
> > > equation some system obeys, it

> asks what simple program produces the
> behavior seen in that system.
> Pure NKS investigates how various types of
> simple programs typically
> behave − much as traditional mathematics
> examines various mathematical
> equations. Applied NKS then looks for
> simple programs behind the
> behavior seen in natural and other systems. "
>
> http://forum.wolframscience.com/misc.php?s=&action=faq&page=2#whatnks

Congrats you cited a source....

> You believe mathematics requires equations.
>
> You can't understand the alternative, nor do
> you want to.

Mikeyou have never had a single NKS idea in your life, your theory
doesn't fit in NKS, sorry that won't save your idea from the utter
failure that it is.

The issue is that NKS relies on simple programs, your idea doesn't
sorry mikey your wrong.... by the way do you even know what a simple
program is?

Yes.

Here is the simple program in my paper:

* Setup Initial Conditions

```
public oAbsoluteMatter
oAbsoluteMatter = createobject("collection")
oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", −1, 10, 20, 10, 2,
0, 0))
oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", 1, 20, 10, 10, 0,
2, 0))
```

*Alternative Initial Conditions for a little more complexity

```
*public oAbsoluteMatter
*oAbsoluteMatter = createobject("collection")
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", −1, 10, 20, 10,
20, 0, 0))
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", 1, 20, 10, 10, 0,
2, 0))
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", −1, 10, 20, 10,
−10, 4, 1))
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", 1, 20, 10, 10, 1,
−2, −1))
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", −1, 5, 30, 40,
−20, 35, 0))
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", −1, 605, 30, 25,
−10, 2, −1))
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", −1, 100, 2, 10,
20, 50, 70))
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", 1, 21, 10, 10, 4,
2, −10))
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", −1, 12, 20, 10,
−1, −40, 1))
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", 1, 2, 10, 10, 1,
−2, −1))
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", −1, 5, 6, 40, −20,
65, 0))
*oAbsoluteMatter.Add(createobject("absoluteMatter", −1, 0, 4, 25, 10,
−2, −1))
```

do while .t.

```
clear



for each oA in oAbsoluteMatter
oA.DoStuff()
endfor



wait window



enddo



* end of program



*class definitions
define class absoluteMatter as Custom
nType = 0
nX = 0
nY = 0
nZ = 0
nDx = 0
nDy = 0
nDz = 0



procedure Init(tnType, tnX, tnY, tnZ, tnDx, tnDy, tnDz)



this.nType = tnType
this.nX = tnX
this.nY = tnY
this.nZ = tnZ
this.nDx = tnDx
this.nDy = tnDy
this.nDz = tnDz



endproc
```

```
procedure DoStuff



* draw us visuall on the screen
?str(this.nType) + str(this.nX) + str(this.nY)
_screen.FillStyle = 0
_screen.FillColor = iif(this.nType = 1, rgb(255, 0, 0), rgb(0, 0,
255))
_screen.Circle(2, 100 + this.nX, 100 + this.nY)



* move inertially
this.nX = this.nX + this.nDx
this.nY = this.nY + this.nDy
this.nZ = this.nZ + this.nDz



* see if there's anything to interact with
for each oB in oAbsoluteMatter
lnDx = oB.nDx
lnDy = oB.nDy
lnDz = oB.nDz
oB.nDx = this.nDx
oB.nDy = this.nDy
oB.nDz = this.nDz
this.nDx = lnDx
this.nDy = lnDy
this.nDz = lnDz
endfor



endproc



enddefine
```

so predict something from this... you can't sorry this is just little Pseudo programming that substitutes for nothing.

Exactly correct.

This is step 1 of 3, following the scheme of information science.

The information processing task in step 2 is innovative.

Step 3 is where predictions made.

<snip>

So lets do a little informal test to prove me wrong Mikey boy:

Don't worry these are topics you have mentioned and I'm sure you
understand already, in fact I'll give you the titles of the books where the answers can be found and since I am sure you already have an
extensive library on the topic of physics you probably own these
books.

So lets begin... the Mikeus Ignoramus or not test:

Books:
Quantum Theory by David Bohm
Theoretical Nuclear Physics by Blatt, Weisskopf

Question 1: Give me a definition of what a harmonic oscillator is and
its function in Quantum Theory.

Question 2: What is the mathematical forumulation of the first
conservation law of nuclear reactions?

Question 3: What is an example of an adiabatic change?

> Bonus Question: Define for me the Pauli Principle in your own words
>
> Bonus Question 2: What is the Biot−Savart Law in your own words?
>
> No using wikipediamikeand copying and pasting this has to bemike
> demonstrating is superior knowledge of the physics he talks about you
> must cite your sources for each scholarly articles.
>
> Cheers

I don't know the answer to those questions.

> There are also plenty of chemistry questions I don't know the answer to. Or biology. Or astronomy.

These are only question from fields you claim to revise or mention...
but as we know you know nothing of them....

> My goal is not to advance any existing scientific field.

Well good, then what are you doing talking to people who study real science, go find some fairy tale forum for your ideas, make them into a fiction novel...

> I don't have any new details.

No kidding

> My goal is to promote an accurate big picture, and let that guide us toward new mathematical expressions.

> Your not qualified as exemplified by the fact you can't answer my simple questions.

Says you.

Fortunately, your subjective feelings are inconsequential to reality.

.