

Re: If someone just took a minute to listen to me

Re: If someone just took a minute to listen to me

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-03/msg01576.html>

- *From:* Michael Helland <mobydikc@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 20 Mar 2008 18:43:40 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Mar 20, 6:41 pm, Eric Gisse <jowr...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 20, 3:25 pm, Michael Helland <mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 20, 4:05 pm, Eric Gisse <jowr...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 20, 1:01 pm, Michael Helland
<mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 20, 2:55 pm, Eric Gisse
<jowr...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 20, 12:35 pm,
Michael Helland
<mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Mar 20,
2:29 pm,
Eric Gisse
<jowr...@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On
Mar
20,

Re: If someone just took a minute to listen to me

12:22
pm,
Michael
Helland
<mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On
Mar
20,
2:09
pm,
Michael
Helland
<mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

3.
You
haven't
suggested
any
replacements
for
direct
variables
to
use
instead
of
mass,
duration,
distance.

Monads.

Hmm..
this
is
like
saying
instead
of

Re: If someone just took a minute to listen to me

calling
a
dog
a
dog,
I'll
call
it
a
hair
four
legged
animal...

Hmmm....
you
can
change
the
variables
anyway
you
want...
its
really
just
an
obfuscation...
not
a
revelation...

True.

What
happens
next
is
the
innovation.

You
have

Re: If someone just took a minute to listen to me

variables
(yes,
you
can
call
them
whatever
you
want)
in
a
computer
program,
changing
according
to
a
set
of
rules,
and
then
through
the
resulting
complexity
you
find
a
set
of
information,
a
second
set
of
information
apart
from
the
original
information
held
in
the
variables.

The
last

Re: If someone just took a minute to listen to me

step
is
to
falsify
the
computer
program
(a
nicely
contained
mathematical
hypothesis)
with
experiments.

Forgot
to
add.

The
last
step
is
to
falsify
the
computer
program
(a
nicely
contained
mathematical
hypothesis)
with
experiments
by
predictions
from
the
second
set
of
information,
information
that
is
not

Re: If someone just took a minute to listen to me

stored
numerically
in
the
variables,
but
found
more
abstractly
within
the
complexity.

Complete
and
utter
goddamn
word
salad,
from
start
to
finish.

Word salad.
Nice meme.
Good
protector.

You
throw
around
buzzwords
like
complexity
as
an
attempt
to
shove
everything
you
cannot
explain
and

Re: If someone just took a minute to listen to me

do
not
understand
under
the
rugh.

Buzzword.
Nother
good
protector.

I understand
complexity.

Go into
denial.

Your
still
spouting
the
same
idiotic
bullshit
as
before.
Go
away
again.

Stay in
denial.

Hey, I got
my wish.

Re: If someone just took a minute to listen to me

Denial of what?

That I understand enough about complexity
to suggest a novel use for
it.

Since you haven't demonstrated an understanding, denial is
OK.
Especially since you have spent four years showing you do
not
understand.

Actually, you've spent that amount of time coming up with excuses to
not understand.

I understand what I mean perfectly well.

Of course you think you do! Now make a quantitative prediction. You've
had four years – lets' see something.

Hell, you've had all day and you only asked for a minute, and you
still have nothing!

And did you take a minute to listen?

Or did you just look for something to disagree with and attack?

.