

Re: Mike Helland Reading List

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-03/msg01864.html>

- *From:* Michael Helland <mobydikc@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 24 Mar 2008 15:00:30 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Mar 24, 2:34 pm, theman <genericjoe2...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Mar 24, 5:12 pm, Michael Helland <mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
<snip>

That's hard to do when you have bills to pay.

No kidding, preaching to the choir, I happen to have bills, school, research, and I am starting two companies, don't talk to me about time, this may also be why I don't normally get more 5-6hrs sleep separate issue... your just lazy...

That's why most people that do this sort of work are paid by their Universities.

Yeah, not everyone, and that merely makes aspects easier... it doesn't change the amount of commitment....

Interestingly enough, after Newton, the greatest scientists in the world formulated his own views of the world outside the structures of the University.

That's why I was thinking a research grant would be a good idea.

Yeah that requires an idea....

I have an idea.

Re: Mike Helland Reading List

Yeah, and an idea you can back up, and the ability to demonstrate you know your stuff, and you admit you don't... so you still won't get the grant... maybe when you've spent years studying this stuff you might have a chance...

The thing you leave out Mike is that people who succeed in doing these things don't put up these lame excuses of bills for why they are to lazy to learn about what they are "interested" in... personally I think you just like to imagine, which is fine, but then you should stop fooling yourself that your doing more then that...

This Japanese guy has a very similar idea:

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science?_ob=ArticleURL&_udi=B6T2K-47MKC1...

Yeah, mr I have no money you actually paid 31 dollars to read the article (that you didn't understand", ha... not to mention that paper is well reasoned and is nothing like what your paper is, you make the mistake of attributing similarities from the title and your own ideas, this is a problem easily remedied by looking at the abstract which if you understood it, you'd see that there is really no similarity. The most striking difference being one is a published idea and yours in not...

I read the paper for free from another source.

It's gratifying to see my "word salad" being served by others.

Whether my ideas are worth exploring or not isn't really up for discussion.

No your right, you don't have a valid idea, which when you learn physics you'll realize...

There are thousands of people working on these ideas.

Not yours, aspects yes, but of course I can type enough random words to make a sentence that doesn't mean anything... the fact that i can pull 5 ideas from you paper that may be correct if taken charitably

Re: Mike Helland Reading List

does not make your idea correct... in fact it's still wrong, and you are the only one wasting his time working on your foolish concept... due to your overwhelming ignorance...

These ideas are going to fuel the next scientific revolution.

Yes, ideas of real scientists like myself will, you will have no part in that, you are an ignorant bystander who wants to be part of the revolution, but is too lazy to learn the topics... and thus will have no part to play in the revolution....

Who wouldn't want to be part of that?

You apparently, since your laziness and feeble excuses keep you on the outside, making foolish comments...

<snip>

Use the library and the internet....

I do.

Obviously not, since you're still ignorant after 4 years, so either you're lying about using the library and the internet or you're really stupid... take your pick...

Currently I am working through much simpler Physics textbooks.

Congrats... apply the ideas to your paper, and if your paper seems stupid then your understanding of physics...

<snip>

Cheers

You're so one-dimensional.

.