

Re: Mike Helland Reading List

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-03/msg01969.html>

- *From:* theman <genericjoe2005@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 26 Mar 2008 15:47:05 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Mar 26, 6:10 pm, Michael Helland <mobyd...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
<snip, crap>

No you just say that because you can't grok them..

You can grok equations through algebra and trigonometry and basic calculus.

And multi-variable calculus, fractional calculus, tensor calculus, regge calculus, differential equations partial and ordinary, group theory, number theory, algebra, symplectic geometry... the list goes on, yes I can grok math thanks...

It's not magic.

for you it is because your to lazy to learn any math or physics...

So the fact that I understand math means its my religion,

You think the fields of math that you understand are essential to grokking math.

They are... you don't know math so what would you know? oh right nothing.... this is another classic mike helland attempt to get out of study...

Talking about math and what is math and what it means to understand math is an area for mathematicians like myself not piddling cash register programmers like you who don't understand any math

Re: Mike Helland Reading List

whatsoever...

<snip> mike being stupid

no thats the only one you understood, in fact I can disprove your conjecture without a computer... sorry...

Then you don't know what a conjecture is.

Neither do you...

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conjecture>

I suggest you read up...

I've told you a thousand times.

You don't have the capacity to adapt your beliefs.

I have told you to learn and think before you speak... and Mike as a matter of self respect I really ignore your advice you being a lazy fool who is not worthy or qualified to give any advice to anyone... since he fails to do the minimum to earn the respect of his peers, and spends his time being foolish and making himself a spectacle for all to laugh at...

<snip> more crap

You said you've falsified my conjecture.

The conjecture isn't falsifiable.

Semantics, and more of you trying to hide behind said semantics because you won't admit your wrong, no matter how dumb you look, hence you are a fool...

You nitpicked my use of the word "virtual".

Because you don't understand what scientific model is... vs a VR simulation...

<snip> mike whining and embarrassing himself

Re: Mike Helland Reading List

Re: Mike Helland Reading List

Cheers

.