

Re: Tom Potter, were you slandered by the Jews ?

## Re: Tom Potter, were you slandered by the Jews ?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-04/msg00531.html>

---

- *From:* "Tom Potter" <[tdp1001@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:tdp1001@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 6 Apr 2008 18:10:37 +0800
- 

<[Kunt100@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Kunt100@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:eeede655-2229-4df4-b474-6c2a1456f1e1@xx](mailto:news:eeede655-2229-4df4-b474-6c2a1456f1e1@xx)  
On 4 apr, 15:19, "Tom Potter" <[tdp1...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:tdp1...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

"Jeff?Relf" <[X...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:X...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message

[news:Jeff\\_Relf\\_2008\\_Apr\\_3\\_4\\_34\\_Pe@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:Jeff_Relf_2008_Apr_3_4_34_Pe@xxxxxxxxxxxx)

- > Were you slandered by the Jews ?
- > Did they take your free-speech rights ? â?o power and money â? ?

It would be pretty hard to "slander" me using facts,  
and I welcome attempts to "slander" me or anyone  
with lies, half truths, distortions, and boilerplate,  
as it allows me to identify and expose dishonest people.

Considering that I am retired, have no businesses,  
am not in politics, and have a good self image,  
it would be pretty hard to take away my free speech,

but as a person who believes that free speech is a positive force,  
I frequently take a stand when I see  
an effort to inhibit the free speech of anyone.

The bottom line is,  
I don't like to see people like Jimmy Carter, Mel Gibson,

--In what way has Mel Gibson been slandered and by whom?

I am pleased to see that [Kunt100@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Kunt100@xxxxxxxxxx)  
agrees with me that Jimmy Carter was a victim of  
Institutionalized Jewish Bigotry.

As can be clearly seen,  
the following "Book Review" of Jimmy Carter's book,  
"PALESTINE PEACE NOT APARTHEID"  
was not a rational book review,

Re: Tom Potter, were you slandered by the Jews ?

Re: Tom Potter, were you slandered by the Jews ?

but was a bigoted hatchet job,

and it demonstrates how Jews use institutionalized bigotry to silence critics and intimidate politicians.

As can be seen by examining the history of the New York Times, they were instrumental in instigating and profiting from the Spanish–American War and the Class Wars of the 1900's, America's 1960's Urban Rebellion, and they are instrumental in instigating the Religious Wars of the 2000's.

The New York Times, like the Washington Post, and Time Magazine use the "Bait and switch" scam to con the unsuspecting public,

They provide good information in many areas in order to win credibility so they can con the public into believing that Jews are "Virtuous Victims", and the folks who criticize Jews are bigots.

It does not take a system engineer to comprehend that Jewish controlled media, like the New York Times, are the REAL BIGOTS and that they use Institutionalized Bigotry to promote their greedy, dishonest, destructive agenda.

The definition of "bigot" is:  
"A prejudiced person who is intolerant of any opinions differing from his own."

New York Times hatchet job follows:

=====

"This is a strange little book about the Arab–Israeli conflict from a major public figure. It is premised on the notion that Americans too often get only one side of the story, one uncritically sympathetic to Israel, so someone with authority and knowledge needs to offer a fuller picture. Fine idea. The problem is that in this book Jimmy Carter does not do so. Instead, he simply offers a narrative that is largely unsympathetic to Israel. Israeli bad faith fills the pages. Hollow statements by Israel's enemies are presented without comment. Broader regional developments go largely unexamined. In other words, whether or not Carter is right that most Americans have a distorted view of the conflict, his contribution is to offer a distortion of his own.

Yasir Arafat is portrayed as someone who disavowed terrorism. Hafez al–Assad, who was president of Syria until 2000 when he died and his son took over, is quoted for an entire section, offering harsh impressions of Israel, including the opinion that it "initiated the 1967 war in order to take even more Arab land." Carter does not contradict him. The separation

Re: Tom Potter, were you slandered by the Jews ?

## Re: Tom Potter, were you slandered by the Jews ?

barrier that Israel is building along and inside parts of the West Bank is not to stop suicide bombers and other violent attacks. Its "driving purpose," Carter says, is "the acquisition of land."

Such misrepresentations – and there are others – are a shame because most of what Carter focuses on is well worth reading about. The barrier (which he calls a "wall" even though a very small percentage is wall) does indeed take land from the Palestinians. His chapter on the endless humiliation of daily life for the Palestinians under Israeli occupation paints a devastating and largely accurate picture of confiscated farm produce, unfair competition from Israeli goods, withheld foreign donations, leveled houses and legal dead ends. Carter is right that insufficient attention is being paid, but perhaps that is because his picture feels like yesterday's story, especially since Israel's departures from southern Lebanon and Gaza have not stopped anti-Israel violence from those areas.

This book has something of a Rip van Winkle feel to it, as if little had changed since Carter diagnosed the problem in the 1970s. All would be well today, he suggests, if his advice then had been followed. Forget Al Qaeda (the name does not appear in this book), the nuclear ambitions of Iran and the rise of the Taliban in Afghanistan. If Israel had "refrained from colonizing the West Bank," he asserts, there would have been "a comprehensive and lasting peace." The debate about the Israeli occupation "will shape the future of Israel; it may also determine the prospects for peace in the Middle East – and perhaps the world."

This is an awfully narrow perspective. The Middle East could well be the source of the next major war and the chances of avoiding it would be increased if the Israeli question were settled. Moreover, if Israel had not built settlements throughout Gaza and the West Bank, solving its dispute with the Palestinians would probably have been – and would be – a lot easier.

But there are other factors to consider, including that for the most radical leaders of the Muslim world – and their numbers are not dwindling – settling the Israel question does not mean an equitable division of land between Israel and Palestine. It means eliminating Israel.

To see the narrowness of Carter's perspective, it is worth returning to 1979, the year of the Egypt-Israel peace treaty that resulted from Carter's Camp David mediation as president, a hugely significant accomplishment. Carter rightly accuses Menachem Begin, then Israel's prime minister, of deception regarding the expansion of West Bank settlements. Begin promised to freeze the settlements. Not only did he not do so; he had no intention of doing so. Carter lost re-election in 1980 to Ronald Reagan and suggests that Reagan's nod-and-wink tolerance of Israeli actions – its bombing of Iraq's nuclear reactor, annexation of the Golan Heights, settlement building and 1982 invasion of Lebanon – were the beginning of the end of the process he had set in motion.

Yet the trouble that was brewing even before these events suggests they may

Re: Tom Potter, were you slandered by the Jews ?

not have been the central issue Carter sees them as. Egypt was instantly ostracized from the Arab world because of its agreement with Israel. Moreover, 1979 was the year of the Iranian revolution, the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan and Saddam Hussein's consolidation of power in Iraq. As much as Israeli policies fueled Arab rage – and they certainly did – these other forces contributed heavily to the state of affairs today.

This does not mean that the problems on the ground have no impact. Israel's friends will increasingly tell you that the dispute is not about land or occupation at all but about the growing radicalism of the Muslim world and its refusal to countenance a Jewish state in its midst. The confiscation of land, the roadblocks and border closings, the preference given to Israeli products within the occupied areas over locally made ones do not amount to much, they say. And those who focus on them are engaging not only in anti-Israel propaganda but in a kind of anti-Semitism.

Which is what some are hinting unfairly of Carter. The Anti-Defamation League has run large advertisements in major newspapers, including this one, attacking the book for Carter's propagation of "myths like Jewish control of the government and media." Across the land, Jewish leaders and their friends are asking each other what exactly Carter's problem is with the Jews.

Their biggest complaint against the book – a legitimate one – is the word "apartheid" in the title, with its false echo of the racist policies of the old South Africa. But overstatement hardly adds up to anti-Semitism.

There is, however, another subtler issue and it has to do with Carter's religious focus. There is a weird scene toward the start of the book in which Carter, a born-again Christian, goes to see Prime Minister Golda Meir on his first visit to Israel in 1973. She asks his impressions. "I said that I had long taught lessons from the Hebrew Scriptures and that a common historical pattern was that Israel was punished whenever the leaders turned away from devout worship of God. I asked if she was concerned about the secular nature of her Labor government."

Meir, a secular chain smoker, could only shrug. Carter seems impressed with his own temerity, as he calls it. But the episode hints at his tone deafness about Israel and Jews. Today, those most opposed to Israel's withdrawal from the West Bank are not the secular Labor Party leaders that Carter worried about. They are the leaders of the religious Zionist parties who consider the West Bank not a Palestinian area where Jews once lived, but their God-given birthright that must not be yielded. Carter never tells us how he squares his notions of God's punishment of secular Jews with the policies of such devout politicians."

=====

End of bigoted hatchet job on Jimmy Carter

Of course, the major reason that Jews work to discredit Jimmy Carter, is that he was responsible for the demise of the Soviet Union, which was controlled by Jews up until about 1985.

Re: Tom Potter, were you slandered by the Jews ?

## Re: Tom Potter, were you slandered by the Jews ?

As can be seen by reading history,  
after Jews massacred the Russian Royal Family,  
and co-opted the Russian government,  
they used Russia as a base from where to  
instigate the Class Wars of the 1900's,

and Jews in America and all over the world,  
sided with Russia in the Class Wars.  
They spied, they hyped and financed civil wars  
in Spain, China, South America, etc.  
not to mention America's Urban Rebellion of the 1960's.

After Jimmy Carter exposed Russia as "An Evil Empire",  
got America and most of the world  
to boycott the 1980 Olympics in Moscow,

Russia got Ted Turner of CNN fame  
to televise Russia's "Freedom Games"  
in order to salvage some of the investment they had made.  
but Turner wisely demanded that they allow Russians access to CNN TV.

After the Russians got a chance to see the outside world,  
the Jewish control over the Native Russians began to unravel.

When the Russian Jews saw that their power was fading,  
and the Native Russians were regaining control of their government,  
and that their world domination scheme was failing,

the Russian Jews began a phony "Free Jews" program,  
and hundreds of thousands of Jews migrated to Israel and America  
at the expense of the American taxpayer,

and they are using these places as their new base  
from where to instigate the Religious Wars of the 2000's.

It is interesting to see that after the Jews looted Germany,  
they made Germany and the German people villains,

and after they looted Russia  
they made Russia and the Russian people villains,

and no doubt after they finish looting America,  
they will try to make Americans and America villains.

Of course, they have been playing the same game for over 2000 years.  
As can be seen by the Jews own holy book,  
when they were driven out of Egypt,  
they stole everything they could carry.

Regarding Kunt100@xxxxxxxxx question about Mel Gibson,

Re: Tom Potter, were you slandered by the Jews ?

Re: Tom Potter, were you slandered by the Jews ?

it does not take a system engineer to comprehend that:

1. He was targeted by a Jewish Cop.
2. The Jewish cop violated his sworn duty and was reprimanded.
3. The Jewish media, Jews in the movie industry, and Jews on the Internet immediately began to slander Mel Gibson, an honest, hard-working, family man, who loves his country, just like they did Jimmy Carter.

It is also interesting to observe that the Jews also did a hatchet job on Mel Gibson's father and family.

---

Tom Potter

<http://www.geocities.com/tdp1001/index.html>

<http://notsocrazyideas.blogspot.com>

<http://groups.msn.com/PotterPhotos>

.