

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-04/msg00581.html>

- *From:* NoEinstein <noeinstei@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 6 Apr 2008 15:29:47 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Apr 1, 4:15 pm, NoEinstein <noeinstei@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

When our country was founded, America was a place of hope for those bold enough to brave the sometimes harsh Atlantic to get here. The early pioneers wanted fair treatment, and freedom from any oppression that might limit a person's potential. Democracy seemed to be the most fair form of government. And a Representative Republic was the most practical approximation of such ideal.

Over the decades, the self-sufficient agrarian lifestyles of the majority evolved into our present niche specialty lifestyles. Our economic system—based on specialization—is varyingly successful. But too often, our governments try to tweak those places where it feels there are inequities, and those who 'get' have for too long been at odds with those who 'give'.

For the first time in our history, 81% of American's are unhappy with the direction that our country is headed. In recent presidential elections the percent of those who cheered at the outcome was close to half of those who voted. 'At least' half of the voters were happy... But does living in a democracy mean that only half can be happy at one time in America? No, it doesn't!

Don't blame democracy for our problems! It may come as a shock to many, but America isn't anywhere close to being a democracy. And she gets further and further away from such an ideal every day. CNN's Lou Dobbs is often shown asking this question: "Doesn't America deserve a government that works?" By all means, yes! But we don't have such a government(s)... because of the naïve complacency of those niche specialty citizens and voters. All of you nice Americans haven't been holding our governments accountable.

Those in governments have become our Elected Dictators—a proverbial ruling class—that was so disdained by our founding fathers. And we let it happen right under our own eyes, without a care in the world.

With 81% of Americans unhappy with our country, maybe those same

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

citizens will listen to some of my insights concerning how to save America:

"When something is broken, fix it!" Government has been paying lip service to our pioneer era Constitution. But behind the scenes they have figured how to circumvent most, if not all, of the democratic principles so desired by our founding fathers. Largely, this has been due to treason-worthy Supreme Court interpretations. Again, most of our too trusting Americans have just gone-with-the-flow, while more and more of our cherished civil liberties have been taken from us. And those thefts of our liberties keep happening without any calls of: "Treason!".

"The media has destroyed democracy!" When the Constitution was written, the citizens learned of developing issues from low volume newspapers; bulletins; and via word of mouth. Talking to one's neighbors across the split-rail fences was wonderful for America. But today the media—and our specialty lifestyles—lets us 'communicate' about issues mostly in those forums that the media itself allows to be aired, and in which Americans are just 'spectators' to the daily lowering of their own destinies.

"The media has encouraged the very worst among us to... 'represent' us." Those with huge egos, like Barack Obama... are drawn to political careers, because the media will print what they say, and allow their mugs to be put in the public view. Wonderful, patriotic Americans who would represent us well, but who are more shy in public situations, never venture into public office, because they don't like being in the media spotlight.

"The media favors those it knows, and especially those who agree with their political positions on issues." The buddy-buddy relationships of the politicians and the media favors... 'career politicians'—who, due to their governmental isolation, too often, are out-of-tune with those citizens who elected them. The media has made our career politicians an elite ruling class. And because the media itself is haughty, it mistakenly believes that IT, the media, represents America. That's why the media keeps espousing its own ideals, rather than seeking out and airing the ideals of the citizens.

"The media follows the advertising dollars in deciding positions." Hillary Rodham Clinton, to her credit, is a known entity who should be able to win any 'fair election' based on her best-person-for-the-job persona. And she should be able to do such without having to purchase a single advertisement! But the corrupt media is favoring Barack Obama and his "the presidency-can-be-bought" advertising dollars. And they are doing so because they feel more important by getting someone who is undeserving of the job elected. One of my own quotes: "The lust for power favors evil-doing, because the glory of dictating a good thing is always shared with its unspoken moral imperative."

In the present race for President, persuasiveness—wrongly—is more important than having the best positions on the issues. Sadly, persuasiveness is allowed to negate even our supposed Representative Republic. Here's how that works: We elect a promising new

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

representative. But he or she starts out without seniority, and soon learns who the power bosses in Washington are, and to whom they must defer. The most persuasive orators are able to sway other representatives to vote this way or that. But where is it written in the Constitution that America gets ruled by the best orators... like Barack Obama has managed to convince people that he is?

This week ex Governor of Minnesota, Jesse Ventura, was a guest on "Larry King Live". Jesse is someone, with a detachment from... "the system", to evaluate it without bias. That is... unless being "a centrist" can be called bias. The following is a letter I wrote to Jesse in 1999. It hints how profoundly involved I am in rooting out America's problems and trying to put America back on a sound foundation based on democracy.

June 4, 1999

Honorable Governor of Minnesota
Jesse Ventura
The State House
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Dear Governor Ventura:

To an extent, Minnesota is a microcosm of the USA. What is good for the USA should be good for Minnesota and vice versa. I am writing this to you because I've discovered major failings in our systems of government. All of such can be blamed—in whole or in part—on partisan politics.

I watched you during your wrestling career only in 'switching channels'—please don't take offense. But I'd seen you enough so that your face (and voice) were familiar by the time you started running for governor. You ran for mayor—not because it was a career political step—but because you saw a need that you could fill, and those in your small town sensed a personal benefit from having you for their leader. For want of a 'better' label, I am a registered democrat who is ultra-conservative in monetary matters, and moderately moderate on social issues. I am also a die-hard Civil Libertarian. (I've heard you talk enough to sense that you greatly value civil liberties as well.) Lumping all of these things together, one might consider me to be a moderate, or like you call it, a centrist. I'm BIG on the American People (with a capital P) and... small on politicians and self-serving government.

The first thing that really impressed me about you was the fact that you were a Navy Seal. I've had an innate feeling that Seals are selected as much for their minds as for their bodies. After all, what worth is a 'machine' without a good control system! The success or

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

failure of a mission could well depend on the split-second decision of the man at the front. In America, somehow, we've gotten away from that high standard and 'accept' that those who are remote (in Washington or etc.) can do a better job of running things than... the man on... the front line.

I was impressed that you are a strong family man. I suspect a good part of your interest in entering... politics... has to do with the very real wish that your children have a secure future, and that your grandchildren, and on and on, will have one as well. I am impressed that you value a seaside-life-of-leisure more than you value the 'acclaim' that comes with seeking higher and higher public offices. But you are not a man to stand by idly when you see a need you can fill, and there are throngs of... the People... who sense a personal benefit in having (or considering having) you for their leader.

By normal 'letter' standards this is already long, but it could be one of the most important letters you'll ever get. There's a computer disk enclosed that gives details of why this is important. A 'nutshell' of the disk is the attached letter to The London Times. We (wrongly) have taken for granted that 'the media' will keep the People informed so that they can't be duped by big government. The media is largely a bunch of puppet (on strings) news journalists who must appease their advertisers, and answer to their don't-make-waves bosses... The true NEWS isn't being reported. So, this is an indictment of the media at least equal to the indictment of partisan politics and big (pseudo-functioning) government.

Following The London Times letter is another letter to you discussing what I propose doing about these 'problems', and how you and your family, and their families and on and on should be interested as well.

Governor, you are one of the men I most admire—based on what I've learned thus far. I hope we can travel the same paths... for the benefit of the People!

Very truly yours,

— NoEinstein —

[Actual name and address was used.]

A large part of this essay concerns our failed media. Just today, I saw CNN's Wolf Blitzer give his trademark, hiding-behind-a-beard-without-a-hint-of-emotion, tacit attack on democracy. He made such attack by having as his guests mainly those who are pro Obama. DNC Chairman Howard Dean is trying for 'Brownie Points' by claiming to be working to... 'seat' the FL delegates in Colorado. But 'seating' and 'counting' are two separate things. And without a representation from Michigan, democracy can't even be claimed as a... plank of the Democratic Party.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Hilary Clinton, and her would be 'First Gentleman', are knowledgeable enough about running the Executive Branch that they can do so without having to go hat-in-hand to anyone in the Democratic Party. In the other wise, Barack Obama will be totally beholden to those selfish endorsers of his who keep coming out of the woodwork. Those who endorse Obama are trying to "buy a job"... that is, unless Obama will publicly decline to even consider any of his endorsers for a Cabinet position, or any other, in the Executive Branch.

But Obama has been bought—since he first showed oratory promise as a teen. The man got pegged as a leader... of blacks. And blacks fed his ego to believe that his becoming president was his destiny. But the man whom blacks selected as 'white enough', also is carrying the wounded psyche of a child who had no loving father figure. As a result, Obama's loyalties are divided, black and white. He is like a man without a country, or a ship without a port.

Money, and blind eyes, keep Obama on his failed course to gain the nomination to become a candidate for President. His "lefty" emotions just aren't there. He's like a machine that those who are discontented with the direction this country is headed hope can perform for them. But an Obama presidency would only sink this country further and further into corruption.

Suppression of democracy is tantamount to the overthrow of America. If a spy were caught wanting to destroy the very foundation on which America was built, such person would be tried for treason. When Barack Obama, Howard Dean, Tom Daschel, Bob Casey, Bill Richardson, Wolf Blitzer, Anderson Cooper, Jack Cafferty, etc., etc., etc., think that the negating of democracy is "fair play", they should be CURSED by all Americans—if not tired for treason, or subversion from within.

WITH democracy, America survives; without it, we fail—and from our own complacency. Babies in wet diapers, and people of similar ilk, may desire... "Obama, Obama...!" to be their president. But thinking ADULTS will recognize when corrupt and selfish influences are stampeding a "Manchurian Candidate" like Barack Obama to be a puppet of those bad influences. Jesse Venture rightly says that Obama and McCain will get their "strings pulled" by their parties. This country can't allow political parties, and a corrupt media, to bring down the Greatest Nation of All Time.

So arise, thinking ADULTS, in PA, NC, IN and the remaining primary states as well as in Puerto Rico! Give Barack Obama an appropriate sendoff for one who is selfish enough to betray democracy, and mentally ill enough not to show the least hint of emotion that he has so done.

Respectfully submitted,

— NoEinstein —

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

On Mar 29, 2:15 pm, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:> On Mar 28, 12:34 pm, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Very few emerging truths are ever allowed to be covered "as news" in the media. That's because truths tend to hurt those who are doing the wrong things, more than the converse. The failed media in this country is at the very core of America's problems. To correct those problems will necessitate placing major constraints on allowing ANY biases in the media to influence ANY decisions of the citizens in how they will vote in elections or in referenda. So, we in America should prepare ourselves for living in a world in which "news commentators", ALL of them, will be an extinct breed.

Recently, I have said that CNN's Wolf Blitzer seems to be showing a professional detachment in how he administers news stories. This past Sunday he had James Carville as his guest. As most of you know, Carville had called Gov. Bill Richardson a... "Judas" for endorsing Barack Obama. That's because Richardson had served as a cabinet member during Bill Clinton's administration.

In all fairness, Richardson has every right to switch his loyalty. But he does NOT have a right to use his own endorsement for anyone running for office, to persuade others to vote for such person! Endorsements, more often than not, run counter to democratic principles. The "buddy-buddy" endorsements by seated officials, creates these "you owe me one" relationships. Those who manage to get elected should owe no one anything, other than to do the best job for

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

all Americans!

James Carville, personality wise, is an ideal person for contrasting with Barack Obama. Carville shows all of his emotions on his face, or in his (at times) stammering voice. Those qualities are like windows to his soul; and he comes across as sincere because of it. Obama, on the other hand shows NO emotions on his face, nor in his voice. So, he comes across as someone who can't be analyzed; could be hiding things; and thus could be untrustworthy.

After Obama made his robot-like address about his relationship with his pastor, the Rev. Jeremiah Wright, he was interviewed about how his speech may have allayed the Wright issues. I was taken—not by what Obama said during the interview—but that he said it without a hint of... quaver in his voice. There was this hugely emotional issue in which teary eyes, a nervous hand, or Carville-like stammering voice would have shown Obama to be... human, and perhaps sincere. But Obama has never shown, to my satisfaction, that he is sincere about anything.

I've seen recent campaign ads of Obama's that are being run in NC. In those he talks, assertively, about what he will do for the people if he is elected President. Obama is wearing a white dress shirt with his sleeves rolled up—the way Screaming Howard Dean had worn his sleeves.

Obama's speech would have been great for one running to be a US Senator, but it wasn't appropriate for a person running for President. Why? Because the issues facing a president are way too broad to be embodied in one person. Though Obama is a smart man, he 'might be' a smart man worthy of being President ONLY if he is wise enough to delegate the working out of the details to his subordinates. Because Obama is a detail-oriented specialist, he is incapable—by his personality—of delegating authority; he is difficult to approach and befriend; and his ego is so huge that everyone in Congress will have their guards up so high, that all of those "promising" political ads of his will have been just so much hot air.

Sadly, many people will think that because Obama is so strong willed, that he'll accomplish things "for them" that have never been accomplished before. But nothing could be further from the truth! Because Obama has ZERO executive, and ZERO foreign policy experience, he will be... at the mercy of the Democratic Party to tell him how to "run" things. That's the reason people like Richardson, Daschel, and Dean are so "supportive"—they want jobs in the Executive Branch that will look good in their 'biographies'. And when they betray democratic ideals for selfish ends, they themselves are being un-American.

With the Pennsylvania primary looming large on the horizon, PA Senator Bob Casey has endorsed Obama. Those two recently held a pseudo press conference. In it Obama took just two or three questions, then tried

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

to SNOW everyone with... how much he knows. He talking waytoolong, and went into waytoomany boring details. Those same tendencies will surely doom an Obama presidency.

Sen. Casey was standing beside Obama with this very nervous, almost stunned look, over how Obama's "press conference" had turned into monologues. If JFK had held that same press conference, he would have fielded fifteen our twenty questions, and used no more than three or four sentences to reply to each. And everyone would have been highly entertained in the process!

A likely reason that Obama chose to have a pseudo, monologue press conference is because he didn't wish to 'risk' having a maverick reporter ask: "Senator Obama, recently, blogs on the Internet are suggesting that your tacit resistance to having the FL and MI primary votes counted, or having those primaries be re held, is tantamount to your placing more importance on your getting nominated, than you place on democracy itself. Would you please comment?"

If and when Obama has to answer such a question, his candidacy for President is over. You voters "still up for grabs" in PA or in NC should know that Obama can't get to the Democratic National Convention in August, without answering that very same question. So, to vote for a real winner (!) Hillary Rodham Clinton is the wisest choice!

Most tellingly, "the question about Obama's favoring getting nominated over his favoring democracy itself" was never discussed by Wolf Blitzer. Was he showing bias toward Obama by not discussing that question? Or was he just following the failed rule-of-thumb in the media that "news" comes only from A-list politicians or celebrities, never from any of the John Q. Public out there. I am proud to be John Q. Public personified!

Immediately, after I began posting regarding Obama's personality being unsuited for a President of the USA, the readership of my posts shot way up. Obama knows that I have accused him of having little in common with anybody. Now, I see him trying to look... American by bowling gutter balls, or by eating pizza. Yes, he can smile and even laugh in crowds. But he still can't show any emotion whatsoever while being interviewed or while making prepared speeches. I could never trust such a man as my President.

It has been over a week since I accused Obama of "betraying democracy" for his own selfish ends. Such time period is long enough for him to have responded this way, if he was so minded: "My fellow Americans: I have learned—through the recent Internet blogs of a man with the curious computer name, NoEinstein—that I have slipped up in how I'm running my campaign for President. The Democratic primaries that were held in Florida and Michigan... thoughttooeary to comply with the rules of the DNC, were still democratic processes. I agree with NoEinstein that the rules of a democracy—like I hope that America

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

still is—will always trump the rules of any political party. In short, the 'date restriction' set by the DNC for 'which' primaries can be held 'when', is probably unconstitutional.

"Therefore, I am recommending to the DNC that the vote in Florida be taken, as is, with no revote. And I am recommending that the votes in Michigan be apportioned the same as demographically similar Pennsylvania, in its upcoming primary. If it should turn out that "as goes Pennsylvania, so goes the Nation" I will live with such results. My intent is to abide by sound principles of democracy. Any candidate, so nominated, will best serve the interests of all Americans. In that light, I remain a candidate to be your President. Thank you all."

But the above heart felt speech was never forthcoming from Barack Obama. His motives are to "serve" the throngs that he imagines still want him to be President. But the momentum in the "Ballet Bowl" has shifted. And the desperate calls that Clinton... "give up" are rightly going unheeded. No speeches given in front of rows of American flags will legitimize the candidacy of onetooselfish, andtoodetached to serve anyone but those string-alongs who might get asked to do Obama's bidding.

It is time that Obama withdraw as a candidate, and time that he apologize to the American people for not honoring the high ideals of democracy. America can survive without him.

Respectfully submitted,

-- NoEinstein --

The US Constitution allows the States to decide many issues. The resulting cross jurisdictions guarantee the right to vote, on the one hand, while allowing each state to determine the boundaries of its own districts. Problems arise when any district's boundary decisions have been based on the resulting demographic makeup of districts—especially, when the latter starts distorting the macro will of the people, counter to democratic principles.

Conveniently, the political parties in many states use their already drawn up district boundaries to allocate delegates to the national party conventions. That's the reason it is possible for a candidate to... "win" the delegate count, but loose the total popular vote across the USA. Those times when the latter happens, democracy gets raped.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

In the pioneer days when the Constitution was being written, it was necessary that we be "a representative republic". That's because democracy—though it is the shining ideal—would have been impossible to administer and to guarantee, if the votes of the people had had to be collected via horse and buggy. And the distribution of the information

...

[read more >>](#)– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –