

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-05/msg02040.html>

- *From:* NoEinstein <noeinstei@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 26 May 2008 13:47:38 -0700 (PDT)
-

On May 21, 11:16 pm, NoEinstein <noeinstei@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

SENATORIAL CANDIDATES, SAVE YOUR MONEY!

Comedians can make fortunes by poking-fun at politicians. And many politicians get themselves elected by making the opposition look like the laughing stock. Quite often, the voters will choose those who are the most interesting characters as their representatives and senators. How else can you explain the long tenure of Senator Robert Byrd of West Virginia? Is having fiddling talent a justifiable reason for electing someone to be a senator? Probably not. But having the appeal to get one's mug in the national media as often as possible, reassures the voting public that their representative or senator has more power than the rest. That very assumption constantly reinforced by the irresponsible media slaps at the face of democracy.

The senatorial race in Minnesota is drawing attention, there, for the first time since former MN governor, Jesse Ventura, hammed-it-up in the wrestling ring. Al Franken that genius at twisting words can't decide whether he would rather have us laugh or cry& regarding the decline in our government and in our lives. Because very smart people tend to be more moral than those less blessed, if all of our elected officials for the past century had been as smart as Franken, we would probably be in fine shape, now. Instead, as many as 85% of those polled say they are dissatisfied with the direction this country is headed. So, Al Franken will have his work cut out for him, if he's elected.

CNN's Larry King values the celebrity of Jesse Ventura, sufficiently, to regularly invite Ventura to be a guest on his Larry King Live. Ventura is one of those unpredictable characters whom the viewing public will watch, expecting to be entertained. Maury Povich draws a particular segment of the viewing public to watch those marital-un-bliss, cat fights. It seems that wrestling and Povich's show have a common thread: For-pay, put-on fights. We're a nation that is longing for a fight& But most are contented to let our various sports and

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

recreational pursuits substitute for actually coming to blows, ourselves.

Jesse Ventura surprised Larry King by saying that he, Ventura, will likely be registering, soon, to vie for that open senate seat in MN. Ventura says he will be running as an independent. To his credit, Jesse promised his father that he won't bet his bank account to seek public office. Folks, imagine how much better off this country would be if no candidate spent more money than the average working American makes in a single year. Wow! Is there any logic other than to feed candidates' egos to spend more money getting elected than the jobs being sought will pay? Of course not!

Barack Obama has raised hundreds of millions of dollars trying to win by hook-or-by-crook the Democratic Party's nomination for President. Jobs and the economy are major issues. Tell me, how can people who are in need, be served by routing ANY of that money into the hands of the media whose only need is greed? Is it any wonder that the TV network bosses choose to support the candidate(s) who will be spending the most money on advertising? Ask yourselves: Is it right that the amount of money available rather than the worthiness of the candidates gets to decide who can win public office?

Democracy would best be served by putting all contributions into a common pot and allocating equal funding for each candidate's ads. Winning by fund-raising is like winning by having a tug-of-war. Democracy must never pit the strong against the weak. My New Constitution will GUARANTEE that every registered voter gets a fair and equal say-so in government. And such will be irrespective of how persuasive they speak, or how much money they can spare to BUY the candidate of their choice.

Some of you readers have heard me explain that I'm an expert graphologist. Last May, TIME Magazine showed a sample of the, then, six top candidates for President. I commented that John Edwards can't be bought. Right after the West Virginia landslide for Clinton, Edwards combed his hair and endorsed Obama & I wondered if I had been wrong last May. Soon, I realized that Edwards wasn't bought. He just sold-out for selfish reasons alone. Edwards would love to get the number two spot on the Democratic ticket. What better way would there be for him to do so than by, possibly, causing the decisive rush of the super delegates to Obama? If Edwards had caused such a rush (but he didn't), fate might, subsequently, have made him President. Or, perhaps, he would have an inside track for the nomination in 4 or 8 years.

Another signature that I've analyzed, and generally like, is that of Face the Nation's Bob Schieffer. He is a friendly and conventional-thinking good-guy. I've admired his aura of fair news coverage for years. He seems believable and trustworthy. But lately Schieffer's reasoning ability is slipping. I've noticed that he keeps stressing

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

the inevitability of an Obama victory because of the numbers. He said, yesterday, that we are a nation of rules. And he said,

Businesses have rules& Schieffer was reasoning, wrongly, that the DNC doesn't have to give-in to pressure by Clinton supporters, like me, to let Clinton have her fair share of the delegates in FL and MI. Why can't Schieffer see that democracy is being raped? And why doesn't he care?

For too many Americans, the news is limited to what the corrupt news media will allow to be aired. Since no one has aired my assertions that our political parties are anti democracy, Schieffer won't let such trivia make him feel guilty. For a man who made his fortune covering politics, is it too much to expect such an insider to admit that the majority of our system of government is simply screwed-up, and needs to be scrapped. In my New Constitution, one part of government that will be scrapped is the entire, anti-democracy branch known as: The US Senate. Those elitists have screwed-up America long enough!

Unlike Al Franken, I don't see anything about the Federal Government that's a laughing matter. Bill Mahr is another political comedian who has more good ideas than bad. But unfortunately, Bill makes too much money just by belly-aching about bad government. Otherwise, he might be more willing to ramrod some of his good ideas.

We all know that this country has an energy deficiency. Instead of depending on petroleum, we could start increasing ethanol production. One way to do that which has repeatedly and unfairly been squelched is to allow our farmers to grow HEMP. That weed could save us economically and politically. Such salvation seems more important than the possible hurt to some, because of the TRACE of the marijuana drug in its fibers. My guess is that the oil lobbyists don't want an ethanol source to be available that might compete with oil. Didn't those five oil executives, seated at that long table in the congressional hearing room, look like five criminals at, say, the Nuremberg trial following WWII? The high price of crude oil is the genre of excuse that oil companies need to keep getting more and more favorable incentives from government to increase oil exploration and processing efficiency. But as has always been the case, the public pays, while the fat cats (and oil company shareholders) keep getting fatter.

Barack Obama did an acceptable job filling-in for an ailing Senator Ted Kennedy as a commencement speaker. I've never faulted Barack's poise as a speaker. But from day one, I have faulted his personality (influenced by Barack's high testosterone) that causes him to be too strong-willed to make a good executive. Strong-willed people tend to assign authority, begrudgingly. And they won't allow those under them to get job satisfaction by using their talents, effectively.

Because Obama has ZERO executive experience, he's now stressing how he

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

will use diplomacy in foreign relations. Here again, Obama's personality is a mismatch. The best diplomats are laid-back, affable people. When they enter a room they don't broadcast their delusional superiority by the strong, level tone of their voice, nor by staring-down those who are talking. A natural born diplomat is our good-neighbor-type-President, Jimmy Carter. He can empathize with the viewpoints of others, while seeking a common issue around which to build a mutually beneficial accord. Obama totally lacks the ability to see things from anyone else's perspective, but his own.

After Senator Tom Daschle brushed-off James Carville's suggestion that Clinton's supporters pay to have the MI primary re-held, I knew that it was Obama's moral imperative to side with democracy. Yet, his monumental ego prevented him from doing the right things. For Barack, if a corrupt and unconstitutional political party system benefits HIM, he's all in favor of it. That man has shed no tears at the daily slights to Clinton. But without those slights, Clinton would be ahead in the pledged delegates, too.

The time has come for one or more reporters with a modicum of decency to ask Obama this: Is it true that you value getting elected more than you value the principles of DEMOCRACY on which this country was based? If you disagree, then, why haven't you insisted that Hillary Clinton get her fair share of the delegates in Florida and Michigan? Unless, and until Barack Obama answers those two questions, he will remain the scourge of the USA.

Mike Huckabee made a genuine Freudian slip when he speculated on the cause of backstage noise by saying it was just Obama being defensive. Mike has already let it be known that he would accept the VP spot under McCain. Naïve Huckabee would see clearer sailing to his becoming president, if the supposed frontrunner, Obama, is out of the picture. That humorous statement shows just how selfish Huckabee is.

If there was a threat component in Huckabee's statement, such paled in comparison to the threat component caused by having the corrupt media REPEATEDLY show Huckabee making those statements. Quite obviously, the media is as lacking in good responsibility, as it is in having any concept whatsoever what its mission should be. Maximizing the profits of the shareholders just won't cut it as a motive for the media in a democracy.

Neither Al Franken nor Jesse Ventura can save this country from ruin by having one of them become a senator from MN. But my New Constitution when ratified on a first Tuesday in November by 75% of those voting will permanently correct our dysfunctional government. Additionally, it will place reasonable limits on what are considered to be accepted business practices. But not-to-worry! Having a fair free enterprise system will cause the MAXIMUM benefits for the maximum number of people. So, prosperity is looming!

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

In the most positive light, please vote for Hillary Rodham Clinton to be President of the United States of America!

Respectfully submitted,

NoEinstein

Handwriting Analysis of Clinton, Obama, McCain and Einstein (is Copyrighted.)

http://groups.google.com/group/sci.physics/browse_thread/thread/eed2bb0cf762e015/6173e270dee543b3?hl=en#6173

On May 17, 11:14 am, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

THE FOUNDING FATHERS DID THEIR BEST

When the US Constitution was being written, it got the devoted, best efforts of the aptly-named founding fathers . None of those men had ever helped to write a constitution, before. But because most of them had either experienced, or been told about, oppressive governments, they knew they wanted something different for America. They certainly didn't want some sort of kingdom with a ruling monarch.

The desire for freedom and new opportunities was the motive for most of those who emigrated to America. Freedom can best be guaranteed if the ordinary men and women who care about those things can have a say—so in what their government does. Without citizen input, America could evolve to be oppressive&

In small groups, simple democratic votes can yield fair results. But when the population is widely spread as was the case during the pioneer era the only practical APPROXIMATION of a fair democracy was to have representative men go to the capital to vote for their constituents. Ideally, those representatives would be sufficiently well attuned regarding how their constituents think, that the representatives could vote like the people would vote if the people could be apprised of the issues; hear the pro and con arguments; and then cast their votes.

Before America was born, the original thirteen state governments, or councils, were the governing bodies of those living within their boundaries. In some ways, the constitutional convention was like a meeting of men from thirteen separate countries. Though there were major common issues of concern among those citizens, some of the states felt that the rights and privileges that those therein held dear, might not get respected if we had a true democracy. States having small populations feared that larger states would control its ports; impose tariffs or taxes unfairly; or disparage the right to

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

have slaves.

The only way to appease those apprehensive state delegates was to bring into parity the population and land area issues by adding a non democratic governing body the US Senate. It was felt that if the two houses of Congress could be made to hash-things-out between them, that the likelihood that a small state would get unfair treatment would be lessened.

Having a US Senate was the plum that allowed America to be formed. But that same branch of government is the primary cause for the growing, and perhaps fatal, decline in our civil rights; decline in our trust of our fellow man; and decline in our control of our government. From the get-go America has not had a functioning democracy. Yet, the spirit of the Constitution requires that the people have control over their government so that fair treatment can be assured. Any new process of government that is fairer for all of the citizens would surely have been embraced by the founding fathers.

Those men were smart enough to know that changes to the Constitution should be allowed. Yet, they didn't want their much-labored-over document to be too easily modified at least not without careful thought. The too great difficulty in modifying the Constitution is another flaw that the founding fathers left in the document.

A third flaw that I'll mention is the presumption that those we choose as our representatives and senators will be patriots who place America's interests, and those of America's diverse citizenry, above their own selfish interests. Nowhere in the Constitution is it mentioned, or even implied to be acceptable, that we have political parties as intermediaries between the citizens and our government.

Another incredible flaw was that the founding fathers let the two houses of Congress make their own rules, and determine their own procedures. An entire BOOK could be written about how the congressional rules have squeezed DEMOCRACY out of the picture, entirely, so that we now have a government run by career politicians who are our elected dictators, NOT our elected representatives!

The founding fathers could not have foreseen how elitist a person is if he or she gets elected to public office. The media dotes over political office holders so much, is it any wonder that we're being served by those mainly interested in fighting for their own places in history?

Senator John McCain was interviewed, yesterday, regarding the sad news about Senator Ted Kennedy's health. McCain said, Senator Kennedy is one of our most effective senators. Why is there such a thing as an effective senator? That's because democracy is nowhere to be seen in the big picture in Washington. A senator may be considered effective if he can make persuasive speeches. He is also seen as

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

effective if he can form alliances with others in the smoke-filled-rooms. Those allow some effective senators to sway votes beyond the 1% influence that the Constitution grants to Kennedy and the others. If ANYONE is more effective or has more influence the representative form of government envisioned by the founding fathers is& out-the-window.

Hillary Clinton has committed supporters (like me) who will never vote for Obama. We couldn't care less about unifying the Democratic Party to beat John McCain. We just want FAIRNESS in government to be evident in this country. I've been wanting fairness or government more closely attuned to a pure democracy passionately enough to spend much of the last twelve years writing a New Constitution.

Our follow-the-rules inclinations, has gotten us into dire straights. When pre-primary polls showed Clinton to be the front-runner, she was being polite when she agreed that the rushed-ahead primaries in Florida and Michigan won't count. Little did she know that racism would soon enter the picture. Such was manifested in the block votes of the 90 plus percent of blacks for Obama. Those block votes didn't change, regardless of his many character flaws that have been brought to light.

Obama's primary character flaw: He won't fight fair. Principles of DEMOCRACY take precedence over the unconstitutional rules of the DNC; and even over the unconstitutional Democratic Party, itself, with all of its cancerous strings throughout government. A polite person like Hillary Clinton, can't be denied her constitutional rights just because she was wishing to avoid seeming greedy. But now that Obama has shown himself to be an inveterate scoundrel, of course she's correct to insist that the voters in FL and MI get fairly counted.

The main error of the DNC, and of Obama's tribe of crooks, is their having put off resolving those FL and MI primaries. That has allowed Obama to make backroom agreements with as many corrupt super delegates as he can. Large numbers of voters make their decisions, not by voting for the best candidate, but by voting for the one who seems most likely to win. That's why denying Clinton those FL and MI votes, early, has allowed Obama to hang on by his nails. And the media has used her for their doormat. If the media had a thread of decency, it would place DEMOCRACY above the shameful Ballot Bowl, and its ilk. The former play-by-play debacle, and all the others, lets the media, and their rag-tag bags of experts, tinker with the lives and futures of every American.

Two weeks ago, Obama promised Oregon that he would make his victory speech there, yesterday. Instead, the super-delegate count hasn't given him the nomination. So& Obama addressed a somewhat somber crowd in Iowa. That speech was condescending to Clinton. It referred to her in ways that keep implying that the nomination race is over. But Obama is just being his same old delusional self. There aren't enough

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Blacks and eggheads in this country for Obama to defeat McCain! The only chance Obama has of winning is if he can dupe Clinton's supporters into believing that he will unite America.

Surely, Obama knows that two thirds of Clinton's supporters won't vote for him if he's the nominee. Therefore, Obama can't win! Hillary Clinton should consider the following Plan B : Run as an independent in the general election. No huge advertising is needed. She already has the voters to place second in a three-way race with Obama and McCain.

What that will do is to throw the election into the House of Representatives. If the democratic house doesn't pick Clinton, she has Plan C . The founding fathers never knew that technology would allow holding a run-off election in a single day. Because the political parties are anti-democracy, and thus against the spirit of the Constitution, then taking votes in the party-tainted House of Representatives would be counter to the ideals of fairness and democracy on which this country was founded. No court can rule against DEMOCRACY twice in eight years, and do so with impunity. Those justices who favored schedules over Democracy in 2000 are teetering of being punished, retroactively, after the New Constitution is ratified by 75% of those voting. So, Congress, or that cursed Court, should allow a one day run-of election as is used in every close state election to decide McCain vs. Clinton. If those bastards don't do so, the PEOPLE must insist as in a revolution. Don't screw with democracy in America!

Obama, like McCain, has a penchant for speaking in front of one or more flags. But no starched and ironed American flag behind him as he speaks can legitimize the campaign of a man whose only motives are selfish ones. Obama talks about using diplomacy with Hamas. But his voice is that of a dictator, NOT the voice of a diplomat. 60% of verbal communication is tonal and visual. On those two Obama flunks as a diplomat. Obama istoo

...

[read more »](#)