

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-06/msg01077.html>

- *From:* NoEinstein <noeinstein@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 9 Jun 2008 18:34:59 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Jun 7, 7:47 pm, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

HAVING CHANGE MEANS NOT DOING BUSINESS AS USUAL .

The campaign slogan of Barack Obama touts: Change you can believe in! But any change which doesn't correct our government's near total departure from principles of democracy, is missing the most important thing that needs to be changed!

From the beginning, Obama has had the backing of the Democratic Party's leadership. And that's contrary to sound principles of democracy. If the latter had been adhered to, the party leadership wouldn't have taken sides nor would they have played favorites. In state-after-state Obama got the block vote of 90 plus percent of Blacks. Block votes regardless of the sex, race, color or creed of those in the block are anti democracy, plain and simple. That's because voting decisions should be INDIVIDUAL ones not based on the consensus of any biased group. The latter should never be allowed to be substituted for the might-have-been wisdom of those individual voters! Nor should voting decisions be based on the subtle, or not so subtle, endorsements of anyone in the media; in government; nor in the private sector. IN A DEMOCRACY, VOTING DECISIONS MUST BE MADE BY EACH INDIVIDUAL VOTER WITHOUT ANY BIASED, OUTSIDE INFLUENCES WHATSOEVER!

I agree, in principle, that there's nothing wrong with having a Black become President. Many Blacks are intelligent, fluent people. A good President of the USA needs to be an intelligent, fluent person. But the main justification for electing a Black to be President must have a more important basis than just the color of his (or her) skin. Skin color, ipso facto, isn't a qualification for holding any public office. And if skin color was the standard for judging one's qualification to hold public office, such a standard would be unconstitutional even in our present and most outdated document.

To have REAL change, we must depart from the ways of the past! Barack Obama is bragging about how he can appeal to both sides of the aisle. But by saying those simple words, Obama is touting that he is

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

ANTI DEMOCRACY. Political parties, and the UNCONSTITUTIONAL rules of Congress, are for the express purpose of allowing certain people to have more power than the Constitution grants. All of the seniority and majority party allocations of power are now, and always have been, anti democracy!

Barack Obama is deluded if he believes that he can bring about real change simply by continuing to embrace the failed processes of the past. His chances of success vary from slim to none. The REAL impediment to having worthwhile changes enacted by Congress is this: Big Business is in bed with our supposed representatives. That shameful interaction goes much further than the more or less public influences of lobbyists. The ax that businesses hold over Congress's heads and in many cases, over the heads of those in the Executive Branch is that it costs hundreds, if not thousand of times more money to get elected to public office than should be required! So, it isn't too difficult to see why the corrupt media will do everything in its power to be sure that the candidate or candidates who spend the most money on advertising will get elected to their respective public offices.

The founding fathers had to include in our government an anti democracy US Senate in order to get the disparate, thirteen states to ratify the original Constitution. Now, in the best interests of democracy, I have ELIMINATED the entire US Senate! There's no unfair discrimination against any state. Oh, some the small states might not like losing their having the same number of senators as large states. When the small states did have such equality, they had more influence than a well-principled democracy would allow. State governments which have modeled their constitutions on the US Constitution will have to eliminate their senates, too. Of course, when my New Constitution is ratified, the prestige of saying that one is a senator, anywhere, will be no more. But rejoice, People! The efficiency of our governments will nearly DOUBLE and while cutting the costs by Billions, if not trillions of dollars!

Firing the collective anti democracy senators will be a simple and prudent thing to do. But correcting that pseudo branch of government known as the media will be much more involved. Due to dire necessity, the First Amendment's guarantee of freedom of the press has been changed in my New Constitution. The new wording is: &freedom of a PRO DEMOCRACY press. That simple change will send to the unemployment lines every single person in the media who comments on; give assessments of; polls regarding; or who openly or tacitly endorses any candidate for public office; or who touts even the slightest nuance of any pending public policy as being good or bad. In short, no professionals in the media shall be entitled to influence the outcome of any governmental process above the one-person-one-vote influence guaranteed by the New Constitution!

On a typical day, there is more news in the colored scrolls of type

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

coming across the bottom of your TV than you ve ever see or hear on the purported news programs that are appearing LIVE, just above. Even with those scrolls, biased editors weed out 95% or more of what the general public is entitled to know. They favor information about celebrities or media figures over mundane news that involves John Q. Public. The media s mantra that shall be changed is: We in the media, and only we in the media, determine what Americans are entitled to know.

Be it known: The media, as well anyone who holds, or has held, a government office or job shall be culpable, retroactively, if any of its members interfere with in any manner whatsoever the ratification processes for the New Constitution. The least punishment for such a felony is three years in prison. It shall be treason, to flagrantly organize a resistance to having a one-day, democratic vote on the New Constitution. The punishment for such treason includes banishment from the USA; life in prison; or death. <<<<<<

So, as the media continues on its merry course to RAPE democracy in America, each person so employed, including the likes of: Tim Russert, Jack Kafferty, Rush Limbaugh, Larry King, Wolf Blitzer, David Gurgen, Jeffrey Toobin, Gloria Borger, Jamal Simmons, Roland Martin, Bill O'Reilly, Donna Brazile ad infinitum should be most careful about putting themselves in jeopardy by handicapping the continuing race for President.

And those don t-make-too-many-waves commentators like Lu Dobbs should consider whether pulling his punches to protect his job shows high enough motives. Bright lights like Campbell Brown should consider whether her stock ownership in recreational battery companies should justify her smiling while CNN continues to rape democracy. Time-Warner itself could be in danger of being shut down, when it is shown that its business activities aren t in the best interest of the country, and of the world.

Folks, the primary reason for having& punishments for wrongs is to

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

get people to STOP doing wrong things! In past eras, going to war was the process by which new governments replaced old ones. My New Constitution has used as its standard: Doing what is best for the vast majority of Americans. What is best will necessitate stopping group–against–group, party–against–party, and class–against–class fights. By replacing such divisiveness with FAIR PLAY, the mechanisms of our American way of life will get the fresh oiling that they sorely need!

Ron Paul has impressed me as someone who sticks to his principles. A man like that quickly cuts any strings that try to pull him one way or another. One of my many complaints about Barack Obama, is that he has enough soundly–attached strings on him to circle the globe a zillion times. Obama could never be objective regarding the ratification processes for my New Constitution. Corrupt business influences desire to continue business as usual.

The advantage of having a one–day, POPULAR VOTE, only, ratification process is that our corrupt Congress will get to have just one vote per member like every other duly registered voter in America! The seated members of the HOUSE will get a two year grace period to remain in office. After that, my ten year, total term limit will cut in. No one needs to fear that this country will be leaderless during the transition to a New Form of government. The improved efficiency brought about because the House will no longer have to come to agreement with the corrupt Senate, should make those last two years productive ones for our most tenured representatives.

Other than to modify how our President is elected, nothing about the duties and functions of the Executive Branch has been changed. And very importantly, nothing about the organization nor function of our armed services has changed. Additionally, the Second Amendment Right to keep and bear arms has been expanded for law abiding citizens! At every turn, individual rights are increased. Heretofore, those have been known as our civil liberties. Never again will your or my civil liberties be placed at the whim of those making or enforcing our laws. So, as I explain more things about the New Constitution, please know that you and yours are going to be EMPOWERED to get fair treatment from your government!

Because RON PAUL is the best choice to be our President, I m recommending that Americans everywhere write in RON PAUL this November. Ron himself likes Rep. Dennis Kucinich for his running mate. So, if both of those men are in agreement to work together, patriotic Americans like you and me can speed Paul s revolution right along! I ve not talked to him, but I suspect he will appreciate your votes of encouragement by making contributions to his WEB page. Now, let us UNITE to achieve our common goals!

Respectfully submitted,

NoEinstein

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

On Jun 6, 6:57 am, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

A MIDCOURSE CORRECTION FOR AMERICA

Eighteen million men and women, all across America, have recently voted for a qualified woman to be President. By doing so, those same men and women, all across America, voted AGAINST Barack Obama to be President. NOTHING that has happened this day!/07/08 regardless of any whoop-de-do automatically changes the ideals of those eighteen million men and women! Their gut feelings when they went into those voting booths surely, helped to guide them in making their decisions. Now, gut feelings should aid them in deciding WHERE their loyalties should lie.

New candidates who vie for public office often play-up the fact that they are political outsiders. There s a very real, implied corrupting-influence if an office holder is seen to be one of the political insiders. Yet, the power of being an incumbent favors having the insiders get re elected. That s in spite of the fact that those insiders have been part and parcel to the implied corruption. These days, corrupt dealings are largely accepted as& the way that things are done in politics.

I was raised by a Southern Gentleman, to BE a Southern Gentleman. Having good manners was stressed to me. All of my life I ve willingly embraced the virtues not only of being a gentleman but of abiding with the associated formalities. PLAYING FAIR is a big part of being a gentleman, as is respecting and protecting& the weaker sex.

All during the Democratic Party s primaries, Hillary Clinton had to take without complaint the many slights to her candidacy. Those were made on a continual basis by: A biased media; their ideologically screened pundits; the choose-the-candidate-that-WE-want democratic party leadership; by Barack Obama, himself; and by his many selfish endorsers. Clinton couldn t defend herself& like a man , because she isn t a man. She knows that a successful nominee for President will need to have fair and balanced media coverage, if such person hopes to have any chance of being elected. And she knows that only a Democrat or Republican seems to have much chance of winning the presidency.

Probably, Ron Paul is the most ideologically well-qualified man in America to be President. When discussing the Washington scene, Paul is quite open in placing-blame-where-blame-is-due. Effectively, Paul is a lamb among wolves. Yet, because of his good character, he won t allow his simple, common sense principles to be compromised. Clinton, on the other hand, is a diplomat. She knows that in order to gain& power, she must be able to work with both friends and enemies alike.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Often, that means& (symbolically at least), sleeping with the enemy.

Today, Clinton said: I will be on the FRONTLINES of democracy! Last Tuesday she said: This is an important day for democracy! Yet, Hillary Clinton is urging those eighteen million men and women, all across America, to disregard the win-at-any-cost, anti FAIR play, and anti democracy tactics of the Obama campaign. All along, Clinton's plan B must have been: If I don't win, outright, (She DID, but won't fight for it&) I will just switch over to& the enemy and all of my supporters will follow along.

I'm one of those (former) supporters of Hillary Clinton who won't be voting for her choice for President. EACH of those eighteen million men and women who voted for Clinton probably did so either because she has executive experience; she's the more mature and stable candidate; or because they liked the wisdom of her various visions for America. Folks, every one of those, and other, valid motives for your having voted are still waiting to be served! NONE of you fine people should automatically switch to the candidate of Clinton's whim unless your own hopes and aspirations for America can be made closer to being a reality! Believe me: Voting for Barack Obama will doom the hopes of the majority to have their situations get better& or, at least, not get any worse.

Essentially, the problems with our government are personnel issues. We just keep hiring too many, egotistical and out-of-touch politicians. Those need to be weeded out and/or fired. And& we've allowed powerful business influences that use: Lobbying; golf course and back-room deal making; and media advertising dollars to bias the media, and to negatively sway the decisions that our government makes supposedly on our behalves. Our government should be an approximation of a true democracy. But instead, it's a closer approximation of a dictatorship& run by selfish, big-business interests.

The threat to the USA by having Obama be elected President probably won't result from anything that Obama, himself, does. Instead, his election just gives the all-clear to continue business-as-usual in Washington. That means group-against-group, party-against-party, and class-against-class fights. The REAL threat to the USA that could bring on more terrorism and even& nuclear war, results from our government having given businesses, here, a Gold Pass Key to conduct their dealings in other countries any way that they see fit.

If there's a pollution problem in this country that's causing Americans to die due to cancer& our government thinks it's quite OK to solve those issues by letting that industry move to another country. By so doing, it will be those people in the other countries who die, not people, here& When, cigarettes became recognized as& a killer of millions, the tobacco companies maintained their sales by sending their products to places like China where there are no

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

warning labels required. Whenever mining, here, starts killing the land and sea wildlife due to runoff, those companies find alternative sites in developing countries that have no restrictions.

The reason that the World Trade Center got brought down, was because NYC and those tall buildings are (or were) symbols of American capitalism. The have-not nations of the World that our government lets our businesses freely exploit sees how little we care about their being treated FAIRLY. When our leaders keep swearing to protect and defend Israel, we are siding with, and selling weapons to, a nation that kicked Muslims off of their land without fair compensation. And we are siding with a nation that over-reacts to any, understandable, minor violence by killing and maiming more Muslims than was the number of Jews who were hurt or killed.

So, is it any wonder that many Muslim s hate the USA? The great number of Muslims in the world and their concentration in countries that American businesses gladly exploit is setting the stage for increasing terrorism in the USA and among our allies. But there s a most simple solution! CLEAN UP our own act in this country to have FAIRNESS. Then, put RESTRICTIONS on allowing our businesses to RAPE (figuratively of course) any other country s natural resources; labor pool; environment; or general health and safety. Because the US has already been doing those bad things, we must begin making generous concessions. If we ll do the latter, suddenly, the motive for having our much needed businesses, here, move to other countries, just isn t there any more. The economy that is issue number one with the voters will start to improve, soon!

Much of the 85% discontent of Americans with the direction that this country is heading results, directly or indirectly, from a dissatisfaction with the LAWS that are in place, or which just got enacted. Simply put, the main cause of our discontent is: We havetoo many ill-conceived and downright unfair LAWS! My New Constitution, when ratified, will MANDATE a review and revote of every single law on that is on the books! And the vote for passage for all laws, old or new, becomes: 55 percent! Never again will laws get passed that aren t liked by more than half of the people. And 55 percent is only a probationary passage. Unless a law passes by 60% or more, it must be reviewed and revoted every four years. And, if at any time, one third of the House, or the President alone, thinks that a controversial law doesn t meet with the approval of the Citizens, such law will be put in a referendum bypassing House authority, completely.

Most Americans will like this: The House will have a ceiling on the amount of taxes it can raise. Such will be a percentage of the GNP. And those taxes will be billed, just once a year, to the STATES based on a state s population. (Folks, please don t worry about poor states. There will be compensations to even out most inequities.) Never again will the Federal government keep financial records on any citizen! And within several years, all of the taxation in the USA

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

will be sales taxes only. Food and medicines will be tax exempt. The combined total of all taxes can't exceed the ceiling without an amendment to the New Constitution!

The above tidbits from my New Constitution should hint that I want such document to be user friendly to the People. But it will be:

Violate at your own peril. to those in government. There are more than a dozen circumstances, spelled out, in which a government official may be either fired, or charged with a felony. Screwing with democracy in America will have very serious consequences!

My hints of doom are mostly cautionary. I'm not walking around with a sign saying: The World will end in so many days. On the contrary, for the past twelve years, I've worked to be sure that the relationships among nations and peoples can become favorable ones. Simply by ratifying my New Constitution, things will begin to improve, here. If US companies want to stay in business, the New Constitution mandates, ...

[read more »](#)