

Re: Force from punch

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-06/msg01078.html>

- *From:* NoEinstein <noeinstei@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 9 Jun 2008 18:40:02 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Jun 7, 10:25 am, PD <TheDraperFam...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jun 6, 7:55 pm, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jun 5, 12:12 am, PD <TheDraperFam...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jun 4, 9:29 pm, NoEinstein
<noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jun 3, 8:54 am, PD
<TheDraperFam...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Folks: POWER is simply a quantity of
FORCE that is available to be
delivered continuously.

Uh, no it's not. Power is carefully defined by the standards
organizations whose job it is to provide such definitions.
Nice try at
making stuff up off the top of your head, though. Do you
need a
reference to where you can look up the definition?

However, such force may be utilized for any

Re: Force from punch

amount of time. You are utilizing, say, five horsepower whether your lawnmower runs for five minutes or for five hours. PD's definition is just dog diddly right off the top of his head.
NoEinstein – Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Dear PD: Science is in its huge mess, because errors get made, and such are then taught and taught. Effectively, you are the defender of the status quo... including all of the errors made by the dunces.
NoEinstein –

A couple of comments:

1. I have no idea what "huge mess" you think physics is in.
2. You've yet to demonstrate where errors have been made, though you've made some ill-informed claims about supposed errors. You've yet to back up any of these claims. Watching you make assertions without back-up is a little like watching you eat beef jerky without your dentures in: it's sloppy, ineffective, and pretty ugly.
3. Whatever errors you claim have been made, you use physics terms in a way that is counter to the way they are defined. This is something akin to saying that the inventors of the English language made a bunch of errors and are defenders of the status quo, and so words should mean what you want them to mean, rather than what they the do mean.

PD– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Dear Folks: PD is clueless to the possibility that the status quo can be, and often is, wrong. Instead he keeps wanting to apply errant standards to judge new science truths. What, if anything, has PD ever done that has actually made a positive contribution to science?
NoEinstein
.