

Re: The standard of time and identical clocks

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-06/msg02657.html>

- *From:* "Spaceman" <spaceman@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 25 Jun 2008 19:33:20 -0400
-

Sue... wrote:

On Jun 25, 6:05 pm, "Spaceman" <space...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Sue... wrote:

On Jun 25, 5:23 pm, "Spaceman"
<space...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Explain how two identical clocks could have
different time rates show on them when they
are brought
back together yet they still ticked at the same
"physical" rate.

You don't know what "time" is so it would be a waste
of bandwidth.

Ah,
so you support multiple standards and causes that are circular.
figures.
I know you were just using irrelevant bullshit but I tagged along
anyway to see if you would even think about it.
but alas. You did not.
You need to keep your multiple standards to back up
your rubber ruler world.
Just like any other brainwashed relativist would have done.
:)

BTW... bandwidth is really cheap these days so
you can do some fairly simple maths to ascertain
what others think your keystrokes are worth if
they have some concern about the bandwidth

Re: The standard of time and identical clocks

used. ;→)

Do you really think "time changed rate" or
do you think
at least one clock goofed up in it's supposed
standard by a
physical cause that is being ignored?

See the Noether's theorem above. ^
Time changes rate when the looser of a drag race
crosses the finish line with less fuel than the winner
crosses with.

Nope.
That only means one dragster had a better
overall machine.

You see no relation between the fuel used
and the "time" to displace a mass by 1/4 mi. ?

I see all the relations and really funny thing about it
is that it all is Newtonian and even more funny is it
uses absolute timing.
unlike the silly "malfunctioningclocks would use"
Silly drag racers..
They use 2 clocks near each other and start and finish times
and they do not put the clocks on the moving object at all.
AMAZING!
they time things that have no clock on them
Better call Einstein!
He would be amazed at the use of such absolute timing.
LOL

You haven't even finished in primary schooll.
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kinetic_energy

Yet another sad diversion tactic by the new rubber ruler queen
:)

Re: The standard of time and identical clocks