

# Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-09/msg00664.html>

---

- *From:* NoEinstein <[noeinstein@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:noeinstein@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 7 Sep 2008 22:26:19 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On Sep 4, 12:03 pm, NoEinstein <[noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

## PLANS FOR CHANGE: CHOOSE ONE.

The backlash against the Bush Years virtually assures that Democrats will be in control of both houses of Congress in 2009. Strangely, history shows that the American electorate has a tendency to choose a President who isn't from the party that controls Congress. By that standard, John McCain looks strong.

Obama-Biden and the Democrats are pinning their hopes on their ability to convince the voters that the McCain-Palin ticket is in the mold of G. W. Bush. However, such a message has already overplayed its usefulness. Nonetheless, Obama is planning a very costly, 50-state campaign to get out his message to the voters. If quantity of advertising can swing an election, he will test that theory.

Following the introduction, this past week, of John McCain's choice for V. P. Governor Sarah Palin of Alaska it is being reported that Republican campaign contributions are way up. So, it seems that both major parties must believe that the American People are dumb enough to say: So-and-so had the most ads, so I'll vote by that standard. For a country strapped with bank failures and mortgage foreclosures, does it make sense to be throwing good money into the coffers of the media? Don't the voters already know enough about the candidates?

Desiring to become President has to be the stuff of kids' dreams. But in reality, desiring to become President is tantamount to lunacy. Both Obama and McCain are huge egotists. John McCain, who is understandably less energetic than Obama, sells himself as a tested veteran of the unpopular Vietnam War. If sticking-to-his-principles counts with the voters, McCain's chances look pretty good.

McCain is touting how he and Palin will be able to work with Congress to cause the changes this country is needing. He's been a US Senator for decades. Did the needed changes happen during those years? No, they didn't. But McCain expects us to believe that, magically, after

## Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

he s elected President, he ll have the power to make Congress correct its problems. But weren t many of those same Congressmen at least partly responsible for the messes we are in?

I understand enough about human nature to know that you don t get people, who did wrong, to make corrections when those people are the ones in power. Needed changes will come about only by removing out-of-touch Congressmen from power! Under my New Constitution, the American People will have the say—so . No longer will there be an anti democracy US Senate. And Representatives must represent, or be going on their way!

Barack Obama is a more persuasive speaker than McCain. But in the long-on-ceremony and short-on-results Congress, making and listening to powerful speeches is the ho-hum stuff of their daily existence. Neither Obama nor McCain have a snowball s chance in hell of causing the needed changes, simply, by talking about those things.

For nearly two years I ve been explaining to you readers that Barack Obama doesn t have the right personality, nor does he have the good CHARACTER necessary to be President. He s just an exceptionally detail oriented, egotistical loner. With every interview that he gives, he can argue the nuances of this or that way of doing things. However, being a Chief Executive requires someone who ISN T detail oriented; has a general overview of issues; works well with people; trusts others to do most of the work; can delegate authority; and is a likeable person with a positive disposition. How does Obama stack up? Not very good, I m afraid.

Barack Obama is one of those always right people. Such don t tend to be well liked as bosses. His being overly detail oriented means that he ll spread himself too thin. He ll be second guessing those who should be smart enough to come up with needed plans. Though he will delegate authority , he ll be the bottleneck to having the proposals of anyone under him be implemented. And because of the latter, he will have a hard time keeping a good staff simply because he won t trust his staff to do their jobs.

Obama has a negative, didactic personality. He doesn t work well in groups. Because of his mixed race, he has had a lifelong identity crisis. That s why he can t express a normal range of emotions. His stony exterior will cause others to view him with suspicion. And many will consider him to be untrustworthy. Since negotiating isn t in his nature, he ll be a failure at foreign policy. His pushy personality is more suited to a dictator than to a consensus builder. The impression he makes is that of an overbearing, unhappy person. Those around him won t be feeling much sunshine.

The USA will be in dire straits if Barack Obama is elected. Supposedly, he is smart. Anyone with his personality and character flaws, who continues to campaign to become President as a matter of

## Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

entitlement deserves to be tagged the Anti Christ. If Obama was a man of character and honor, he would have dropped out of the race months ago. The future of the USA doesn't matter to him. The only thing important to Obama is to placate his maniacal inferiority complex, turned superiority complex, because of his being fatherless, and racially half-and-half.

No seventy-something man like John McCain should be promising to fight for anything. Admittedly, being a candidate for President is an honor. But choosing the unnecessary fight route to the needed changes makes no sense.

When I began writing my New Constitution twelve years ago, I knew that the outlawing of political parties would be a difficult pill for many to swallow unless; unless the MAIN OBJECTIVES of both parties can be realized, fairly. Amazingly, by making government a more responsive and efficient institution, the business and economic climate in this country will improve so much that there won't be any need to keep looking to government for favors, or even for handouts. Lobbyists for business interests won't be needed, if the American economic situation starts improving on its own!

A misguided concept which is all too common in this country: If others are using shady dealings to get ahead, I, or my company, will too. The latter genre of thinking is why the USA is in such dire straits right now. But suppose that the new philosophy in the USA is this: I will be FAIR in all of my business and personal dealings. As I've said: What goes around, comes around! Instead of revenues declining because there are no more lobbyists to gain undeserved advantages rightful advantages will start coming, because more and more people are dealing FAIRLY with their fellow Americans!

As I have done for the Republican Party, the major objectives of the Democratic Party are addressed, too. While writing our New Constitution, I didn't take sides. Rather, I defined optimum relationships wherefrom the large majority of our Citizens can be secure in their Life, Liberty and Pursuit of Happiness.

If Barack Obama manages to get elected, I'll lock up my New Constitution. Case closed. I could never shake that scoundrel's hand, even if he extends it. All of my written-in corrections of the injustices to Blacks will go unrealized, that is if the ignoble Black BLOCK voters place more importance on winning by-hook-or-by-crook than they place on having their reasonable objectives BE MET. My New Constitution will assure that Blacks, and all minorities, get the fair and equal treatment that they deserve. But there will be no such guarantees if Barack Obama gets elected. Is there any way that I can make that simple fact MORE clear?!

McCain-Palin needs to wise up. They should inform the country that they're OPEN to the idea of letting the American People consider my

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

New Constitution for ratification in 2010. Sincere statements to such effect will send huge shockwaves throughout our government! I'll predict that there will be little or no opposition from Congress regarding any reasonable proposal coming from the White House. And our country's new charmer, Sarah Palin, won't be sacrificed against that immovable, calcified wall that is the US Congress.

Additionally, John McCain won't have to be acting tough in foreign affairs. That's because the level of world tensions will start going down! The scuttlebutt, everywhere, will be that the USA is about to become a Kinder, Gentler Nation! Hopefully, the lame-duck US Senate will decide to go-out on positive notes and work WITH the House rather than (as so often) against it. A national health care program should be a top priority. So many positive things can happen in the next two years. Everyone should be amazed, if we will all just think positive!

Any third party candidates out there, who want to help improve the world, should also declare their openness to having my New Constitution be considered.

Barack Obama effectively more than figuratively is the Angel from Hell. But be it known: A New Constitution is the only change that we need! Pass it on!

Respectfully submitted,

NoEinstein

On Aug 29, 12:07 pm, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

**MCCAIN STRIKES GOLD IN ALASKA!**

If Hockey Moms have as much going for them as Sarah Palin exhibited last night at the Republican Convention, by all means, turn this country over to them! Wearing lipstick doesn't signify that there is less POWER in the weaker sex, it just differentiates the Hockey Moms from the Pit Bulls!

At last week's Democratic Convention, Governor Bill Richardson asked the throng, Are you ready to take back this country? That question seemed to imply that Obama's motive for change is to wrest the control of our country from the powers-that-be in Washington, and return control to the People. On that one goal Obama and I agree **THE PEOPLE SHOULD BE IN CONTROL!** That said, having Barack Obama be elected President would be **THE** most catastrophic thing to happen to this country, 9/11 included&

As was highlighted by Republicans last night, Barack Obama has **ZERO** executive experience. He's running to be President because his

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

## Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

lifelong inferiority complex caused by to his being biracial; poor ; and brought up without a father demands to be compensated. When more and more Blacks began identifying with Obama s angry tone of speech, even though he was still in his teens, Obama began being hyped by Blacks as their future leader. With each public speech that he delivered, his ego swelled. Eventually, he deluded himself into believing that he s entitled to be President. The country owes him such, simply because of his sad childhood.

Obama s undeserved rise to power was bolstered by the deeply corrupt Democratic Party. Such was needing a Manchurian Candidate without the independence of the Clintons who would be the puppet of Howard Dean and his band of thieves. Those like Bill Richardson, Tom Daschle and Bob Casey endorsed Obama, not because he s exceptional, but because he s unexceptional enough to be needing them to help him run things in Washington. Take away the implied rewards for those endorsing Obama, and the endorsements would have been few, indeed.

For the record, my New Constitution requires that any civil employee or office holder be fired for publicly endorsing a candidate for government office. That stipulation will stop the you-owe-me-one obligations, once in office, which have so compromised candidates ability to do their jobs of representing the People.

Screaming Howard Dean, the DNC Chairman, knows that he himself will never be President. But that hasn t stopped Dean from lusting to have as much behind-the-scenes power in the White House as he can muster. Up until Dean lost it in 2004, he had been incredibly successful at generating ideological and financial support over the Internet. Barack Obama has followed Dean s example perhaps under Dean s tutorage to gain grass roots support via the Internet. Very early, 90 plus percent of Blacks who feel that THEY are entitled to be compensated ,too began backing Obama. They did so with their dollars and with their relentless neighborhood recruitments of voters, and new registrants to vote& for Obama. The latter is a tacit violation of principles of democracy.

A Black man who was interviewed in Time Square right after Obama s acceptance of his party s nomination, sounded proud as he said, This year I contributed \$50.00 to Obama. Obama won t accept contributions from special interests. Well, I ve got news for you: Blacks ARE a special interest! And they are a hugely threatening one to the future of the USA!

If Blacks manage to win the game of getting Obama elected, there will be in-your-face celebrating. Right off the bat, Blacks will be expecting to see the last vestiges of racial discrimination end. They will be expecting to have their lot in life be improved, yesterday because they are Black and that is the worst form of racism. There will be violence from Blacks when they aren t as empowered by Obama s election as they had hoped. And there will be violence from whites if

## Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Blacks are empowered, inequitably. The Democrats and Obama have gotten this country into a loose/loose situation if Obama gets elected. The Watts Riots will look like soccer celebrations by comparison.

Sarah Palin is a Breath of Fresh Air (!) in the midst of the dire situation that the USA finds itself in. If John McCain, the current standard bearer of the Republican Party, becomes President, our broken and corrupt two party government will be sitting there, unscathed. Yes, McCain is a maverick who sticks to his principles. And even though Palin is a barracuda, the US Constitution doesn't grant the Executive Office the POWER necessary to implement the changes that need to be made in our government.

Sarah Palin is a genuine gold nugget charmer! But it pains my heart to have such a HOPEFUL PRESENCE as she be sacrificed against the Calcified Wall of Corruption that is our Congress.

However, Sarah Palin need not be sacrificed! To save both McCain and her from failure in correcting our government, all that McCain and she need to do is to: Publicly acknowledge that it is PRUDENT to let the American People vote on my democracy-based New Constitution, in November of 2010! By making just that one simple acknowledgment, Congress, and the World, will be put on notice that the American People are determined to take back control of government. For muchtoolong political parties; lobbyists; PACS; the media; and the great traditions of Congress have locked us into continuing to do the same old ineffectual things.

Historically, Republicans have been pro business; anti big government; and anti taxes. But as long as we're in debt and we are, big time, because of Bush's wars taxes can't be lowered much. On a positive note: My New Constitution removes the authority of governments local, state and federal to raise taxes, ANY taxes, without a direct vote of the People! And the vote for passage becomes 60%, not 50.0001%. Every law, regulation or procedure that is on the books will be reviewed and revoted, WITH full citizen input. Never again will this country be strapped by laws not supported by a big majority. And never again will the bad laws that got passed be allowed to hold the People captive, simply because the People aretoolaw abiding.

This week on CNN's Larry King Live, Jesse Ventura tells us that he wants to be a player in this country's Revolution. Ventura says that he has no intention of voting for a Democrat nor a Republican. Charles Barkley, a potential candidate for governor in Alabama, thinks that Democrats and Republicans are corrupt. So, he'll run as an Independent. And both Obama and McCain are talking about re-taking control of our (party-dominated) governments.

Because of the above, and individual observations, too, thinking Americans, everywhere, should realize: The major changes needed in our

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

government will require tearing down and rebuilding from the ground up. But the Plans and Specifications to do so are poised and polished. People, start DEMANDING to learn more about my New Constitution! The media is giving hints that it can't keep its collective heads buried-in-the-sand much longer regarding the prospect of there being a New Constitution.

One day, Alaska Governor Sarah Palin will make a great first woman President of the United States of America. To help assure that such a goal isn't an impossible one for her, simply realize: A New Constitution is the only change that we need! Pass it on!

Respectfully submitted,

NoEinstein

On Aug 27, 7:29 am, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

OBAMA, A MANTOOSMALL ON A STAGETOOBIG.

Democrats had their spectacle last night in Denver. Some say such was a lot of air rock singers, wrong-colored flags being waved, printed posters held up-side-down, and general mass hoopla over the wrong man for President. Most of the latter was a build-up to have Barack Obama say: I accept the Democratic Party's nomination to be President. But after doing so, Obama's nearly hour-long speech was, essentially, a repeat of his same-old-same-old, trademarked, read-from-teleprompters, unfulfillable promises. As I predicted, his robot-like facial expression and unvarying vocal tone made watching and listening to that speech painful. The same genre of political promises have been getting people hoping and dreaming every four years only to be let down after the honeymoon is over for the new President.

The weakest speaker last night was Al Gore. He was squeezing too much verbiage into too little time. His tone and facial expression was that of an angry man. Part of his anger was probably because Barack Obama is getting a shot at being President, rather than Gore. But Gore got assaulted badly enough in 2000, by our failing political system, to last him a lifetime. Easily, the most stupid thing that Gore said, was to refer to our great democracy. Mr. Al Gore, I've

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

got news for you: From the date of our country's founding, we've had only a poor APPROXIMATION of a democracy. Mainly, that's because every decision made by Congress gets compromised by the anti-democracy Senate. Senate seats aren't allocated based on population. From day one, my New Constitution will ELIMINATE the untenable US Senate! And the Federal Government will start functioning efficiently for the first time in its history.

Wisely, the American People want CHANGE! On that one fact, I agree with the Democrats. However, the huge problems facing this nation aren't because we've never elected a Black President before. They are because we have allowed two quasi-governmental groups, the Democratic Party and

...

read more »- Hide quoted text -

- Show quoted text -