

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-09/msg01775.html>

- *From:* NoEinstein <noeinstei@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 13 Sep 2008 11:46:25 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Sep 8, 1:26 am, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

RED, WHITE AND& BLACK WILL NOT SUFFICE FOR THE USA!

The flag of choice for legitimizing Barack Obama as a candidate for President misses the mark. For him trying to seem presidential is a simple thing. His formula is to wear a coat and tie and to look suave. Most of you should have noticed that at every opportunity as in Obama's ubiquitous media ads one or more freshly-starched, red, white and Black, PHONY replicas of our flag are situated behind him.

I'm most concerned about any BASTARDIZATION of our cherished Red, White and Blue! My blood relative was the COMMANDER of Fort McHenry during the War of 1812. Washington had been burned, and the British fleet was sailing into the harbor at Baltimore. But the foresight of my relative had caused him to commission a huge Red, White and Blue American flag.

When the ruinous bombardment of Fort McHenry began, my relative ordered everyone out. That night, those men hunkered down in relative safety behind the fort while bombs were bursting in air. Francis Scott Key was a prisoner on one of the British ships. But he could see& the rockets' red glare. Key was rightly concerned not only for the fort and for those men but for the very survival of the USA. If Baltimore had fallen to the British, there is a likelihood that our country would now be controlled by Great Britain.

Before dawn's early light, my relative ordered those men back into the fort. Immediately, they ran up our proud, new, Red-White-and-Blue flag! The fort which the British had assumed to be defeated wasn't! Rapid cannon fire from Fort McHenry began sinking the surprised British Ships. Because of the difficulty of maneuvering in the small harbor, the planned land invasion of Baltimore was called off. As quickly as they could, those ships tacked out of the harbor, and returned home in defeat.

In large measure the USA owes its continued existence to my relative!

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Would such a relationship quality me to have my New Constitution be considered by the American People? Additionally, I, my sibling, and my first cousins are the closest living relatives of someone many of you have heard about: pioneer patriot, Daniel Boone. I m also proud to say that I am 1/16th American Indian! Part of my passion to SAVE this country from itself, is in my BLOOD!

Suddenly, from out-of-the-BLACK comes an ego-maniacal BASTARD named Barack Obama. Before that psycho had even graduated from Harvard Law School where he was accepted, preferentially, because of his being a disadvantaged Black Obama was setting-his-sights on the White House. He knew what it takes to appeal to blacks . So, he took a low-paying job as a community organizer of Blacks and Black churches in Chicago. Obama realized how great such a job would look on his resume. And such would disguise his selfish motives for entering politics. All that remained for Obama to do was to vote-his-way Present. through the Illinois House of Representatives, and into the US Senate. Eventually, enough Blacks realized how wonderful a speaker he is, and how suave. That s why they pegged Barack Obama as their President of choice. And by so doing, many Blacks seem to be thinking: Doing what is right for America be damned& I ll just do what is right for Black power!

My New Constitution will DISQUALIFY any candidate for public office for campaigning while intentionally standing in front of an American flag, or bunting. The rationale is this: Because of the corrupting influences of the two major political parties, and of the elitist media, ego maniacs tend to be the only people who are attracted to be our leaders . And part of the appeal to those rascals is their mistaken idea that government is sanctioned by the present Constitution to control what goes on in this country. But nothing could be further from the truth!

Most politicians who aspire to public office do so to elevate their status . Government has evolved away from the ideals of our founding fathers. Now, our elected officials are, essentially, our elected dictators . It would be too much of a put-down for our elected officials to consider themselves to be servants of the People. But be it known: Under my New Constitution, government officials will BE servants of the people, & or be going on their way!

The one-sentence objective of my New Constitution is this: By way of democracy and fair play, return the power to the PEOPLE, and take the power away from every single corrupting group influence, and away from government! Because flags are displayed in and around so many public buildings, those seeking public office have come to associate flags with the power of government over the people. Sadly& ***The American flag has been allowed to become a symbol of our oppressive government.*** And that idea must be REVERSED!

The flags that Barack Obama favors are the BASTARDIZED red, white and

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Black variety. Those were the only flags that were available for purchase, in support of his campaign, at that Denver football stadium, and were being waved while Obama made his cold-hearted, read-from-teleprompters acceptance speech. That man's preference in flags isn't very subtle in its implications for the control that Obama is expecting his Black minority to have over the People.

Many of you probably believe, as I do, that it's unacceptable for Jews to control the media, and thereby, to effectively control government. The latter is bringing this country down, fast. But not nearly as fast as will occur if Blacks start thinking that they are in control, as a matter of entitlement, because that BASTARD Barack Obama got elected. The backlash to having Obama be elected will quickly doom the USA in its own right. But it will also deny you, the American People, the right to consider my New Constitution the only hope to REALLY change Washington.

Barack Obama isn't subtle with his continued usage of wrongly-colored flags. Nor is he subtle in taking offense regarding the capabilities of a woman like Sarah Palin to be President of the USA. His "Put lipstick on a pig; and it's still a PIG." remark was within a week of Sarah Palin having described herself as a hockey mom. Palin had asked, "Do you know the difference between a hockey mom and a pit bull? Lipstick!" Barack Obama is consistently disrespectful to women! Clearly, he was showing his frustration that a personable woman has upstaged him. But isn't it high time? Obama's main platform is: "We don't need four more Bush years." Haven't we heard that statement enough already?

Michelle Obama has danced with Ellen and wowed those on The View. But she made the latter appearance only after passing out a list of topics not to be discussed. Days later, an angry-toned Michelle stumping for her husband has promised thousand dollar rebates if Obama is elected. An even more angry-toned, baggy-eyed Hillary Clinton said: "No McCain; No Palin; No way!" What Hillary now knows is this: "There is No Way" that she will ever become the first woman President of this country. Now, it seems likely that such honor will be going to Sarah Palin!

A panicky Barack Obama has met with Bill Clinton for a strategy session. Afterward, Clinton announced that Obama will win, easily. Apparently, Obama is having more trouble than he and the corrupt pollsters are letting on. If not, why would he be going hat-in-hand to Bill Clinton for advice? To wit: Obama has lost the vote of women. And he hasn't gotten the blue collar, rural voters who had been for Hillary.

While Obama laughs-it-up with David Letterman, he really doesn't have much to be laughing about. There aren't enough corrupt Donna Braziles; Roland Martins; Gloria Borgers; and other members of the best political team covering Ballot Bowl, to get Obama elected.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

The INTERNET is where the power of FREE SPEECH now resides!

In two more years, following the ratification of my New Constitution, there will be no more hired media experts, and no more news anchors or self-appointed media celebrities & assessing in the present or future tense the prognosis of any race for public office or any referendum. Similarly, polling regarding the latter shall be outlawed. In effect CNN, Time-Warner, and all of the others, will have to reinvent themselves or be closed down. And the new Federal Communications Commission will be empowered to do just that! Never again will the likes of smiling Campbell Brown be allowed to second guess subjects like the Bridge to Nowhere. The media can only COVER the political news as it happens, not interact with the news so as to change the outcome. Nor shall they keep replaying clips of yesterday's news that are most favorable to the ideology of their management.

In spite of the above, the American people won't be lacking for the news! They'll get it, unedited, and without any biased assessments by those in the media. Never again will reporters be filling time by talking to their news anchors or to other reporters about what that day's political events mean. The only conversations allowed to be aired regarding the political scene will be those involving non-celebrity, non-paid, and non-ideologically-screened public citizens. If Larry King wants a celebrity on his show, King had better not discuss politics, or his show will be shut down! Also, the airing of the opinions of movie stars, like Matt Damon, is anti-democracy, and shall be forbidden in the future. The only opinions that matter in political contests are the opinions of the voters on election day!

Barack Obama's campaign has elevated him to the status of the Anti-Christ get him elected, and be damn the consequences to the USA and to the World. Obama should consider becoming a GQ model. There will be pictures of him in the media AFTER the American people have reinstated the Red, White and BLUE as their Stars and Strips of choice! The prospects for America are bright, but only if we will TAKE BACK control of our government from all of the corrupt group influences and special interests!

Be it known: A New Constitution is the only CHANGE that we need!
Pass it on!

Respectfully submitted,

NoEinstein

Happy Days & !

http://groups.google.com/group/sci.physics/browse_thread/thread/9903367481ce7970/ae4338645fbefbf5?hl=en&lnk=

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

On Sep 4, 12:03 pm, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

PLANS FOR CHANGE: CHOOSE ONE.

The backlash against the Bush Years virtually assures that Democrats will be in control of both houses of Congress in 2009. Strangely, history shows that the American electorate has a tendency to choose a President who isn't from the party that controls Congress. By that standard, John McCain looks strong.

Obama-Biden and the Democrats are pinning their hopes on their ability to convince the voters that the McCain-Palin ticket is in the mold of G. W. Bush. However, such a message has already overplayed its usefulness. Nonetheless, Obama is planning a very costly, 50-state campaign to get out his message to the voters. If quantity of advertising can swing an election, he will test that theory.

Following the introduction, this past week, of John McCain's choice for V. P. Governor Sarah Palin of Alaska it is being reported that Republican campaign contributions are way up. So, it seems that both major parties must believe that the American People are dumb enough to say: So-and-so had the most ads, so I'll vote by that standard. For a country strapped with bank failures and mortgage foreclosures, does it make sense to be throwing good money into the coffers of the media? Don't the voters already know enough about the candidates?

Desiring to become President has to be the stuff of kids' dreams. But in reality, desiring to become President is tantamount to lunacy. Both Obama and McCain are huge egotists. John McCain, who is understandably less energetic than Obama, sells himself as a tested veteran of the unpopular Vietnam War. If sticking-to-his-principles counts with the voters, McCain's chances look pretty good.

McCain is touting how he and Palin will be able to work with Congress to cause the changes this country is needing. He's been a US Senator for decades. Did the needed changes happen during those years? No, they didn't. But McCain expects us to believe that, magically, after he's elected President, he'll have the power to make Congress correct its problems. But weren't many of those same Congressmen at least partly responsible for the messes we are in?

I understand enough about human nature to know that you don't get people, who did wrong, to make corrections when those people are the ones in power. Needed changes will come about only by removing out-of-touch Congressmen from power! Under my New Constitution, the American People will have the say-so. No longer will there be an anti-democracy US Senate. And Representatives must represent, or be going on their way!

Barack Obama is a more persuasive speaker than McCain. But in the

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

long-on-ceremony and short-on-results Congress, making and listening to powerful speeches is the ho-hum stuff of their daily existence. Neither Obama nor McCain have a snowball's chance in hell of causing the needed changes, simply, by talking about those things.

For nearly two years I've been explaining to you readers that Barack Obama doesn't have the right personality, nor does he have the good CHARACTER necessary to be President. He's just an exceptionally detail oriented, egotistical loner. With every interview that he gives, he can argue the nuances of this or that way of doing things. However, being a Chief Executive requires someone who ISN'T detail oriented; has a general overview of issues; works well with people; trusts others to do most of the work; can delegate authority; and is a likeable person with a positive disposition. How does Obama stack up? Not very good, I'm afraid.

Barack Obama is one of those always right people. Such don't tend to be well liked as bosses. His being overly detail oriented means that he'll spread himself thin. He'll be second guessing those who should be smart enough to come up with needed plans. Though he will delegate authority, he'll be the bottleneck to having the proposals of anyone under him be implemented. And because of the latter, he will have a hard time keeping a good staff simply because he won't trust his staff to do their jobs.

Obama has a negative, didactic personality. He doesn't work well in groups. Because of his mixed race, he has had a lifelong identity crisis. That's why he can't express a normal range of emotions. His stony exterior will cause others to view him with suspicion. And many will consider him to be untrustworthy. Since negotiating isn't in his nature, he'll be a failure at foreign policy. His pushy personality is more suited to a dictator than to a consensus builder. The impression he makes is that of an overbearing, unhappy person. Those around him won't be feeling much sunshine.

The USA will be in dire straits if Barack Obama is elected. Supposedly, he is smart. Anyone with his personality and character flaws, who continues to campaign to become President as a matter of entitlement deserves to be tagged the Anti Christ. If Obama was a man of character and honor, he would have dropped out of the race months ago. The future of the USA doesn't matter to him. The only thing important to Obama is to placate his maniacal inferiority complex, turned superiority complex, because of his being fatherless, and racially half-and-half.

No seventy-something man like John McCain should be promising to fight for anything. Admittedly, being a candidate for President is an honor. But choosing the unnecessary fight route to the needed changes makes no sense.

When I began writing my New Constitution twelve years ago, I knew that

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

the outlawing of political parties would be a difficult pill for many to swallow & unless; unless the MAIN OBJECTIVES of both parties can be realized, fairly. Amazingly, by making government a more responsive and efficient institution, the business and economic climate in this country will improve so much that there won't be any need to keep looking to government for favors, or even for handouts. Lobbyists for business interests won't be needed, if the American economic situation starts improving on its own!

A misguided concept which is all too common in this country: If others are using shady dealings to get ahead, I, or my company, will too. The latter genre of thinking is why the USA is in such dire straits right now. But suppose that the new philosophy in the USA is this: I will be FAIR in all of my business and personal dealings. As I've said: What goes around, comes around! Instead of revenues declining because there are no more lobbyists to gain undeserved advantages, rightful advantages will start coming, because more and more people are dealing FAIRLY with their fellow Americans!

As I have done for the Republican Party, the major objectives of the Democratic Party are addressed, too. While writing our New Constitution, I didn't take sides. Rather, I defined optimum relationships wherefrom the large majority of our Citizens can be secure in their Life, Liberty and Pursuit of Happiness.

If Barack Obama manages to get elected, I'll lock up my New Constitution. Case closed. I could never shake that scoundrel's hand, even if he extends it. All of my written-in corrections of the injustices to Blacks will go unrealized, that is & if the ignoble Black BLOCK voters place more importance on winning by-hook-or-by-crook than they place on having their reasonable objectives BE MET. My New Constitution will assure that Blacks, and all minorities, get the fair and equal treatment that they deserve. But there will be no such guarantees if Barack Obama gets elected & Is there any way that I can make that simple fact MORE clear?!

McCain-Palin needs to wise up. They should inform the country that they're OPEN to the idea of letting the American People consider my New Constitution for ratification in 2010. Sincere statements to such effect will send huge shockwaves throughout our government! I'll predict that there will be little or no opposition from Congress regarding any reasonable proposal coming from the White House. And our country's new charmer, Sarah Palin, won't be sacrificed against that immovable, calcified wall that is the US Congress.

Additionally, John McCain won't have to be acting tough in foreign affairs. That's because the level of world tensions will start going down! The scuttlebutt, everywhere, will be that the USA is about to become a Kinder, Gentler Nation! Hopefully, the lame-duck US Senate will decide to go-out on positive notes and work WITH the House rather than (as so often) against it. A national health care program should

Re: Campaigning is a 'Science', too.

be a top priority. So many positive things can happen in the next two years. Everyone should be amazed, if we will all just think positive!

Any third party candidates out there, who want to help improve the world, should also declare their openness to having my New Constitution be considered.

Barack Obama effectively more than figuratively is the Angel from Hell . But be it known: A New Constitution is the only change that we need! Pass it on!

Respectfully submitted,

NoEinstein

On Aug 29, 12:07 pm, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

MCCAIN STRIKES GOLD IN ALASKA!

If Hockey Moms have as much going for them as Sarah Palin exhibited last night at the Republican Convention, by all means, turn this country over to them! Wearing lipstick doesn't signify that there is less POWER in the weaker sex, it just differentiates the Hockey Moms from the Pit Bulls!

At last week's Democratic Convention, Governor Bill Richardson asked the throng, Are you ready to take back this country? That question seemed to imply that Obama's motive for change is to wrest the control of our country from

...

read more »- Hide quoted text -

- Show quoted text -