

Re: The strength of a rope is more than the sum of the strengths of its separate

# Re: The strength of a rope is more than the sum of the strengths of its separate

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-09/msg02855.html>

---

- *From:* John Polasek <[jpolasek@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jpolasek@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 22 Sep 2008 17:01:02 -0400
- 

On Mon, 22 Sep 2008 08:00:00 -0700 (PDT), ed wolf <[eduartwolf@xxxxxxx](mailto:eduartwolf@xxxxxxx)> wrote:

On 22 Sep., 02:21, John Polasek <[jpola...@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jpola...@xxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Sun, 21 Sep 2008 19:49:16 +0200, "minimus"

<[spammergetthisem...@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:spammergetthisem...@xxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

The strength of a rope is more than the sum of the strengths of its separate strands.

Why?

What's your authority on that?

Look closely what you are dealing with, say 100 fibers. Are they 100 identical fibers? Not likely. They will have various breaking strengths and each has a specific weak point.

When you bundle them as in good tight rope, every fiber's weak spots will be supported by 99 or so strong ones, so it won't even be stressed enough to fail.

You would probably find that the rope is as strong as 80 or 90 of the strongest fiber.

John Polasek

Hi,  
fibers say 12m long are twisted to a rope say 8 m long.  
It will be 1.5 times thicker than the bundle of fibers you started with.  
Could it be 1.2 or even 1.5 times stronger?  
Sounds quite reasonable, doesn't it?  
ed, slightly puzzled

Re: The strength of a rope is more than the sum of the strengths of its separate

I dont want to get too involved here, but...

Since you have shortened by  $8/12$  the area is  $12/8 = 1.5$  times, by simple proportion. The 'screw' of the rope is at  $42$  deg m/l. (That rope would want to unwind).  $\sin 42 = 2/3$ ;  $\sec 42 = 1.33$ .

So for X pounds vertical, a slanted fiber has to take  $1.33X$  pounds to provide X vertical and some Y compression force of  $\tan 42$  or  $.9X$ .

Therefore the strength would be increased by only  $1.5/1.33 = 9/8$  .

John Polasek

.